

TIME INTEGRATION AND STEADY-STATE CONTINUATION FOR 2D LUBRICATION EQUATIONS

PHILIPPE BELTRAME^{†‡} AND UWE THIELE^{†§}

Abstract. Lubrication equations allow to describe many structuring processes of thin liquid films. We develop and apply numerical tools suitable for their analysis employing a dynamical systems approach. In particular, we present a time integration algorithm based on exponential propagation and an algorithm for steady-state continuation. In both algorithms a Cayley transform is employed to overcome numerical problems resulting from scale separation in space and time. An adaptive time-step allows to study the dynamics close to hetero- or homoclinic connections. The developed framework is employed on the one hand to analyse different phases of the dewetting of a liquid film on a horizontal homogeneous substrate. On the other hand, we consider the depinning of drops pinned by a wettability defect. Time-stepping and path-following are used in both cases to analyse steady-state solutions and their bifurcations as well as dynamic processes on short and long time-scales. Both examples are treated for two- and three-dimensional physical settings and prove that the developed algorithms are reliable and efficient for 1d and 2d lubrication equations, respectively.

Key words. lubrication equation, time integration, continuation, exponential propagation, Krylov reduction, dewetting, depinning

AMS subject classifications. 35Q35, 37M05, 37M20

1. Introduction. The dynamics of structuring processes of thin liquid films, ridges and drops on solid substrates can be described by so-called thin film or lubrication equations. The notion 'thin' refers to systems where the mean thickness of the film/drop is small as compared to all typical length scales parallel to the substrate. This allows for the usage of the long wave approximation [65]. Different varieties of such an equation may model, for instance, dewetting due to van der Waals forces [75, 61, 84, 101, 4], the evolution due to a long-wave Marangoni instability of a film heated from below [66, 12, 95], and the instability of a film of dielectric liquid in a capacitor [56, 108, 60, 46]. Including driving forces parallel to the substrate allows to describe, e.g., droplets that slide down an incline under gravity under isothermal [69, 98] and non-isothermal conditions [12, 95], the evolution of transversal front instabilities [87, 7, 31, 48, 94], and the evolution of shocks in films driven by a surface tension gradient against gravity [10, 88]. A large number of extensions exist to describe, for instance, two-layer films, films with soluble or non-soluble surfactants, films of colloidal solutions or to incorporate effects of evaporation, complex rheology or slip at the substrate. For reviews see, e.g. references [65, 89, 49, 99, 13].

Thin film equations are extremely versatile in their application and are related to other 'standard' equations employed in studies of pattern formation out of equilibrium [22]. It can, for instance, be shown that the (convective) Cahn-Hilliard and the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equations may be obtained from a thin film equation for sliding drops and flowing films as limiting cases for small and large lateral driving, respectively [95].

Due to the strong non-linearity a typical thin film equation is difficult to handle

[†]Max-Planck-Institut für Physik komplexer Systeme, Nöthnitzer Str. 38, D-01187 Dresden, Germany

[‡]Institut für Physik, Universität Augsburg, D-86135 Augsburg, Germany

[§]Department of Mathematical Sciences, Loughborough University, Loughborough, Leicestershire, LE11 3TU, UK. E-mail: u.thiele@lboro.ac.uk

numerically, in particular, if the physical situation that shall be described is three-dimensional resulting in a partial differential equation (PDE) with two spatial dimensions (2d). In the following we will exclusively employ the latter counting style of dimensions, i.e., a two-dimensional physical situation resulting in a PDE with one spatial dimension will be referred to as “one-dimensional case (1d)”.

The present work develops and applies a common numerical framework that can be used to study the time evolution and to follow steady state solutions in parameter space in both – the 1d and 2d – cases. For viscous fluids (small Reynolds number flows), for a surface tension that dominates over viscosity (small capillary number), and a small lateral driving force (e.g., small inclination angle of an incline or small temperature gradient) the long wave approximation results in the following equation for the time-evolution of the film thickness or droplet profile $h(x, y, t)$ [65, 49]

$$(1.1) \quad \partial_t h = -\nabla \cdot [m(h)\nabla p(h) + \vec{\mu}(h)],$$

where $m(h)$ is a mobility function, $\vec{\mu}(h)$ represents the lateral driving force, and the pressure $p(h)$ may contain several terms that determine the dynamics. A curvature or Laplace pressure results from capillary and stabilizes a flat film. Its contribution results in a Bilaplacian of the height h in Eq. (1.1). Because it is the highest order derivative in the equation it constitutes one of the main numerical difficulties. Pressure contributions that destabilize the flat film can result from various physical mechanisms [65, 25]. Examples include electrostatic pressure terms for dielectric liquids in a capacitor [56, 60, 108], a pressure resulting from a magnetic field acting on a ferromagnetic fluid [1, 14], a disjoining or conjoining pressure for very thin films below 100 nanometers thickness resulting from effective molecular interactions between the substrate and the free surface (wettability effects) [24, 27, 43], a ‘thermal pressure’ for a thin film on a heated plate (where the long-wave Marangoni mode is dominant) [106, 64, 12] and a hydrostatic pressure due to gravity, e.g., for a fluid film under a ceiling [32, 26, 16]. All the mentioned destabilizing effects result in a long-wave instability, i.e., an instability with wavenumber zero at onset; similar to the Rayleigh-Taylor instability.

In the present work we use two variants of a disjoining pressure as generic examples for a destabilizing mechanism because their particular thickness dependencies are numerically demanding and extensive literature results allow for detailed comparison. Note, however, that the developed algorithms for time integration and continuation can be readily applied to any other combination of stabilizing and destabilizing pressure terms. Using a disjoining pressure formulation allows to consider the presence of an ultra-thin wetting layer on the macroscopically ‘dry’ parts of the substrate. Such a film is often called a ‘precursor film’ [24]. The existence of such a film removes the contact line singularity [31, 102].

In the absence of a lateral driving force ($\mu = 0$), a film that is linearly unstable evolves during a short-time evolution into a structure of holes, drops or mazes with a typical structure length determined by film thickness and other control parameters that reflect the character of the destabilizing phenomenon [73, 84, 64, 52, 11, 12, 81]. This process is often called ‘spinodal dewetting’ [61]. However, the resulting short-time structure is unstable (representing a saddle in function space) with respect to coarsening and on a large time-scale the structures coarsen until the system eventually approaches the global energetic minimum, i.e., a single drop or hole [8, 36, 91]. Indeed without a lateral driving force the system follows a relaxation dynamics and the evolution equation can be written using the variation of an underlying energy

functional [66, 61, 89, 99]. The variational form of the (then coupled) evolution equations can also be derived for multilayer films in similar settings [70, 71]. However, even in the one-layer case, details of the different phases of the process are still under investigation. Examples include the initial structuring process that might occur via nucleation or a spinodal surface instability [101, 4], and the mechanisms of the transverse instability dewetting fronts [85, 74].

A detailed understanding of dewetting films and the other processes listed above is only possible if the pathways of time-evolution and the steady states described by Eq. (1.1) can be determined in the one- and two-dimensional case using a fast and versatile algorithm. As the steady droplet solutions in 1d can be seen as periodic trajectories in a conservative dynamical system one can use available continuation packages for ordinary differential equations [28, 29, 30] to map different solution families and their linear stability (see, e.g., [103, 95, 100]). Careful interpretation allows, e.g., to predict for dewetting films the dominance of different rupture mechanisms within the linear unstable parameter range [101, 103, 89]. No such continuation tools are, however, available in the two-dimensional case.

The situation is more involved when lateral driving forces are present, i.e., gravity on an incline [42, 107, 69], or temperature gradients along the substrate [18, 50, 9]. There, new phenomena appear like transversal instabilities of sliding liquid ridges, advancing and receding fronts [42, 87, 7, 31, 98, 94]. Another fascinating finding is related to sliding drops: Beyond a critical driving force the drop forms a cusp at the back end and 'emits' smaller satellite droplets [69, 5, 54]. For a driven contact line, heterogeneities of the substrate can cause a stick-slip motion [80]. In the setting of a sliding droplet this leads at a critical driving force to the depinning of droplets from such localized heterogeneities. In the vicinity of the responsible sniper bifurcation the resulting motion resembles stick-slip motion: The drop sticks a long time at a wettability defect and then suddenly slips to the next defect. This was studied in the 1d case in Refs. [97, 96]. Differences in time scales for the stick- and the slip-phase may be many orders of magnitude. On the homogeneous substrate one can, in the 1d case, regard stationary periodic droplet and surface wave solutions as periodic trajectories of a dissipative dynamical system that emerge from the trivial flat film state via a Hopf bifurcation [95]. This allows to employ standard continuation packages [30] to obtain solution families and to track the various occurring bifurcations [102, 45, 100, 92]. The same applies for fronts (shocks) and droplets that correspond to heteroclinic and homoclinic orbits, respectively [10, 62, 21]. Note, however, that at present little is known about the solution and bifurcation structure in the 2d case.

Having all the described physical applications in mind, we conclude that for settings with and without lateral driving forces a powerful algorithm that allows to follow steady state solutions in parameter space and to simulate the time evolution would be highly beneficial. Here, we seek to develop and to apply such an algorithm. In particular, we aim at a time integration scheme with an adaptive time-step and tools for bifurcation analysis that are applicable in the 1d and (most importantly) 2d case.

Semi-implicit time-stepping schemes (cf. Ref. [109]) are a standard method to perform the time-integration of non-linear equations. The method treats a linear operator implicitly and the remaining non-linear terms explicitly. The method is successful, e.g., when dealing with the Navier-Stokes equations [105], because the fastest time scale normally arises from the linear Laplacian (or Stokes) operator. In the case of the lubrication equation (1.1), the natural candidate for the linear operator seems to be the bilaplacian. Indeed, it is very relevant for small perturbations of a

flat film. However, for states like a drop that coexists with an ultra-thin precursor film scale-separation makes this approach problematic. Alternatively, to avoid the problem one may choose to take at each time step the Jacobian matrix as the linear part. In consequence, the semi-implicit scheme requires to solve a linear system whose conditioning depends on the one of the Jacobian matrix. For an equidistant spatial discretization, we expect that the Jacobian is very badly conditioned (worse than in the case of the Stokes operator) because of the presence of the fourth order bilaplacian. Although, specialized algorithms exist that economically solve the linear system when the Laplacian is the implicit linear operator, no such algorithm seems to exist for general asymmetric Jacobian matrices. Another way that avoids this problem might be the use of a (pseudo-)spectral method [11, 12]. However, the presence of higher derivatives contributes to a large spread in the eigenvalue spectrum which may lead to numerical problems. This effect becomes even larger because of the particular solution types we want to consider: Sliding drops on homogeneous or heterogeneous substrates, in particular, the cusp formation [69] lead to a further enlarged eigenvalue spectrum. Therefore we do here not pursue such a way. Instead we focus on an algorithm based on a spatial finite difference discretization on a uniform mesh of N nodes. The resulting large and sparse discrete system of equations contains obviously strong non-linearities. We seek an effective iterative method to carry out the integration in time and the tracking of steady states in parameter space.

An efficient time integrator can be based on an exponential propagation scheme that does not require any preconditioning and is known to be stable even for stiff non-linear systems. The scheme is based on the exact solution of the linearized equation at each time-step requiring the computation of the exponential of the Jacobian matrix. This operator is not directly computed but, as commonly practiced for large and sparse matrices, only its action on vectors is estimated using projections on small Krylov subspaces of dimension $K \ll N$ [76]. The standard algorithm to perform this task is the Arnoldi procedure possibly incorporating improvements as proposed by Saad [77]. As a result the exponentiation can be performed at a negligible cost as long as K is not too large.

The application of such a scheme to lubrication equations proves to be reliable. However, the approximation in Krylov subspaces converges rather slowly. We show that the necessary dimension K is about one hundred while in Refs. [34, 77, 40, 104] $K \approx 10$ is sufficient. The difference results from the presence of the fourth order Bilaplacian in lubrication equations. Its effect is more disadvantageous than the one of a second order operator because the magnitude of the large negative eigenvalues increases with the order of the differentiation operator [55]. To our knowledge, no numerical study of the efficiency of the Krylov subspace approximation to a matrix exponential operator that contains a fourth order operator exists in the literature. Here we propose to improve this step by coupling the already developed methods to determine the rightmost spectrum with the classical Arnoldi procedure [59]. In particular, the application of a Cayley transform proves to be the most powerful method. The resulting scheme is particularly suited to efficiently adapt the time-step to the corresponding time-scale of the dynamics. This allows for very large time-steps as, for instance, in problems involving coarsening and stick-slip drop motion.

The outlined scheme can not only be used for time-stepping. We show that the Krylov reduction associated with the Cayley transform can as well be applied to track steady states in parameter space employing a continuation scheme that consists of the determination of a tangent predictor, the application of Newton's algorithm along the

secant direction, the detection of bifurcation points and finally the determination of the direction of bifurcating branches of steady solutions [82].

The paper is structured as follows. Section 2 presents the lubrication equation and its spatial discretization. Then we describe in Section 3 the exponential propagation method and discuss different ways to exponentiate the Jacobian before in Section 4 we adapt the developed algorithms to employ them for the continuation of steady states. Two appendices give details for the Krylov reductions (A) and the implementation and convergence of the algorithms (B). In the remaining part, we apply the developed numerical algorithms to two typical physical situations. The first one (Section 5) is the dewetting process of a thin film on a horizontal solid substrate. The slow coarsening process that follows the initial fast patterning provides an excellent test for the adaption of the time-step. We do as well study steady state solutions in 2d, in particular, we discuss several solution branches corresponding to quadratic and hexagonal arrays of drops. Second, in Section 6 we study the pinning/depinning problem for ridges and drops on an inclined heterogeneous substrate, where a wettability defect can retain a liquid ridge or drop up to a critical inclination. The path-following algorithm is applied to determine branches of steady state solutions and, in consequence, the onset of depinning in the 2d case. The stick-slip motion of drops beyond depinning is investigated utilizing our time-stepping algorithm. For comparison with the literature we do as well provide selected results for the 1d case. Our conclusions are found in Section 7.

2. Modelling and Spatial Discretization.

2.1. Lubrication equation. Consider a liquid layer on an (inhomogeneous) one- or two-dimensional solid substrate, corresponding to a two- or three-dimensional physical situation, respectively (Fig. ??). The liquid partially wets the substrate and might be subject to a constant lateral force P . Using the long-wave approximation, the dimensionless evolution equation for the film thickness profile $h(x, y, t)$ derived from the Navier-Stokes equations, continuity and boundary conditions reads [65, 49]

$$(2.1) \quad \partial_t h = -\nabla \cdot \{m(h) [\nabla (\Delta h + \Pi(h, x)) + P\mathbf{e}_x]\},$$

where $\nabla = (\partial_x, \partial_y)$ is the planar gradient operator and $\Delta = \partial_{xx}^2 + \partial_{yy}^2$ is the planar Laplacian. Note, that Eq. (2.1) has one and two spatial dimensions for two- and three-dimensional physical settings, respectively. In the following they are referred to as the 1d and 2d case, respectively. The mobility function $m(h) = h^3$ corresponds to Poiseuille flow without slip at the substrate. The term Δh represents the linearized Laplace pressure for small slopes of the film thickness profile. The wetting properties are modeled by the disjoining pressure $\Pi(h, x)$. Thus, for a striped heterogeneous substrate the disjoining pressure will depend not only on film thickness but also on the x -direction. The lateral force acts as well in the x -direction (Fig. ??). Many particular forms of the disjoining pressure are discussed in the literature. The most common ones that are used in simulations model the presence of an ultra-thin wetting layer (a so-called 'precursor film') of about 1-10 nm thickness. Note that, the resulting short-time dewetting dynamics is often called initial 'film rupture'. However, the disjoining pressure allows for a stable precursor film there is no 'true' film rupture. The short-time dynamics results in large amplitude structures, either drops coexisting with a thin precursor film or holes in the thick film that do, however, not reach down to the dry substrate but are still covered by the precursor film. We will employ the notion 'rupture' in this meaning at several points in the paper.

To facilitate comparison to the literature here we employ the two expressions for the disjoining pressure:

$$(2.2) \quad \Pi(h) = -2e^{-h}(1 - e^{-h}) - Gh$$

(as used in [102, 103]), and

$$(2.3) \quad \Pi(h) = -\frac{b}{h^3} + e^{-h}$$

(as used in [83, 101]). We call them (I) and (II), respectively. Varying wettability properties due to a heterogeneous coating can be introduced in the short-range part of the disjoining pressure. We employ case (II) and have

$$(2.4) \quad \Pi(h, x) = \frac{b}{h^3} - [1 + \epsilon\xi(x)]e^{-h},$$

where $\xi(x)$ is the heterogeneity profile and ϵ the amplitude of the heterogeneity (as in [97, 96]).

2.2. Functional space. The considered domain is $D = [0; L]$ (1d case) or $D = [0; L_x] \times [0; L_y]$ (2d case). Eq. (2.1) defines a partial differential equation (PDE) in $H^4(D)$

$$(2.5) \quad \partial_t h = F(h, x), h \in H^4(D)$$

with $F(h) = -\nabla \cdot \{m(h) [\nabla (\Delta h + \Pi(h)) + P\mathbf{e}_x]\}$. In order to obtain a well posed PDE system, we introduce periodic boundary conditions. In the studied case of non-volatile liquids the mass $M = \int_D h(x, y) dx dy$ is conserved. The measure $H = M / \int_D dx dy$ represents the mean height and $u = h - H$ is the perturbation. The PDE (2.5) defined in the space

$$(2.6) \quad E_0 = \left\{ u \in H^4(D) : \int_D u(x, y) dx dy = 0 \right. \\ \left. \text{and periodicity of } \partial_x^i u \text{ and } \partial_y^j u, 0 \leq i, j \leq 3 \right\}$$

is well defined: (i) F operates on the Euclidian space $\{H + E_0\}$, and (ii) linear operators operate on the linear space E_0 .

Note that we do not impose a positivity condition ($h \geq 0$) in the functional space. Indeed, Zhornitskaya and Bertozzi [111] present a positivity-preserving numerical scheme for lubrication equations which do not contain a disjoining pressure ($\Pi = 0$). The result is based on the fundamental result of the existence of entropy preserving solutions [6]. In the case of the presence of a disjoining pressure, the positivity of such schemes is guaranteed only for the 1d case [8, 3]. Nevertheless, entropy consistent finite-element schemes can be developed for the 2d case [38]. Here, the presence of a precursor film makes the positivity preserving problem less crucial. Furthermore, the error estimator developed with our scheme is sufficiently accurate to prevent negative solutions or 'numerical' singularities. Note finally, that the concept of entropy solutions might not be applicable to films exposed to a driving force.

2.3. Spatial discretization. A finite difference scheme is used associated with a regular meshing of the substrate domain. We use in x and y directions, $(N_x + 1)$ and $(N_y + 1)$ mesh points at distances $\delta x = N_x / L_x$ and $\delta y = N_y / L_y$, respectively.

The number of discretization points is denoted by $N = N_x \times N_y$ in the 2d case and $N = N_x + 1$ in the 1d case. The differentiation operators are approximated by a standard centered five-point stencil. This means that for the Bilaplacian Δ^2 and the third order operator $\nabla\Delta$ it is a second-order approximation while for the gradient or divergence operator ∇ and the Laplacian Δ , it is a fourth-order approximation. Thus, the differentiations operators are sparse band matrices with maximally 5 (1d) or 25 (2d), non-zero elements on each line. The map $F(h, x)$ defined in Eq. (2.5) is discretized according to Eq. (2.1), i.e., in the mass conservation form. Our algorithm involves as well the Jacobian of the map F , which is discretized using Eq. (2.8). This results in a second-order approximation. Thus, the discretized Jacobian matrix is not in a conservative form. With this choice we avoid a further increase of the number of non-zero elements. Indeed, in the conservative form the matrix associated with the divergence operator would multiply the other differentiation matrices. However, the use of a matrix in a non-conservative form increases the mass fluctuation of the vector h due to numerical round off errors. This may result in a significant variation of the mass after several time-steps. A solution could be to integrate the restriction of the E space directly into the operator. Then, however, one would loose the band-structure of the operator that constitutes a property of paramount importance for sparse matrix manipulations like, e.g., the incomplete LU-factorization. Therefore, we keep the matrix without additional modifications and impose mass conservation during the Krylov reduction as explained in Section A.

2.4. Jacobian matrix. Next, we justify our choice to compute the Jacobian matrix at each time-step. First, we explicitly determine the Jacobian matrix as linearization of the function $F(h, P)$ at $h = h_0$

$$(2.7) \quad \mathbf{J} = D_h F(h_0, P)$$

where D_h denotes the differentiation operator with respect to h . The Jacobian matrix is a sum of differentiation operators up to fourth order:

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{J}u &= v_0(h_0, x)u + \mathbf{v}_1(h_0, x) \cdot \nabla u \\ &\quad + m_0 \Pi'_0 \Delta u - \nabla m_0 \cdot \nabla \Delta u - m_0 \Delta^2 u. \end{aligned}$$

with

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} v_0(h_0, x) &= -m'_0 \Delta^2 h_0 - P \partial_x m'_0 \\ &\quad + m'_0 \Delta \Pi_0 + \nabla m'_0 \cdot \nabla \Pi_0 + \nabla m \cdot \nabla \Pi'_0 + m \Delta \Pi'_0 \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.10) \quad \mathbf{v}_1(h_0, x) = -m'_0 \nabla \Delta h_0 + m'_0 \nabla \Pi_0 + 2m_0 \nabla \Pi' + \nabla m_0 \Pi' + P \mathbf{e}_x$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} m_0 &= m(h_0) & m'_0 &= \frac{dm}{dh}(h_0) \\ \Pi_0 &= \Pi(h_0, x) & \Pi'_0 &= \frac{d\Pi}{dh}(h_0, x) \end{aligned}$$

The Jacobian matrix $\mathbf{J}$ has eigenvalues with large negative real parts. They correspond to the fastest time scales related to the presence of the differentiation operators. As these eigenvalues are situated in the left half-plane of the complex plane they are called “leftmost eigenvalues”. The presence of such eigenvalues is the main cause for numerical spatial oscillations occurring when explicit methods are used for time integration. The resulting stability restriction on the time-step is overcome by treating

the linear term implicitly. Then, the main difficulty is to solve the resulting linear system. The leftmost eigenvalues are due to the differentiation operator of the highest degree. Therefore, one can filter out the leftmost eigenvalues by treating only this operator implicitly. In the literature the implicit linear term normally corresponds to the Laplacian for second order equations like, e.g., reaction-diffusion or Navier-Stokes equations and to the bilaplacian for fourth order equations like, e.g., the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation [35]. In our case, a natural candidate is the bilaplacian. However, it is multiplied by the Poiseuille flow mobility $m_0 = h_0^3$. This implies that, e.g., for a height variation by a factor 10 like for a drop solution, a factor 10^3 appears in the linear operator. The resulting spatial scale separation complicates the situation as compared, e.g., to the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation.

Furthermore, here the other differentiation operators may contribute to the leftmost eigenvalues because the vectorial factor in front of these operators can have very large elements. For example, the Laplacian operator is scaled by $m_0 \Pi'_0$ what is related to the disjoining pressure that can be very large close to the edge or contact region of drops. In this situation the Laplacian operator results in a non-negligible contribution to the leftmost spectrum of $\mathbf{J}$.

In conclusion, we find that it is not advisable to filter the leftmost eigenvalues by employing a constant linear operator. It is preferable to compute the Jacobian matrix through a linearization at each time-step. However, the resulting asymmetric non-constant Jacobian matrix is not the best choice in an implicit integrator: The linear system is difficult to solve as the Jacobian is badly conditioned and there exists no general preconditioner method to improve the iterative methods. An alternative way is to employ exponential propagation as then there is no need to solve a linear system. Furthermore, it is known in the literature that exponential propagation is well suited for time evolution problems involving strong non-linearities.

3. Time integration.

3.1. Exponential propagation and error estimator. Starting from the known profile h_0 at t_0 , the exponential propagation scheme consists in solving the autonomous evolution problem

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{dh}{dt} = F(h, x),$$

$$(3.2) \quad h(t_0) = h_0$$

at each time-step. The approach for solving this system is to develop the operator $F(h, x)$ near the state h_0 in a Taylor series

$$(3.3) \quad F(h_0 + u, x) = F(h_0, x) + D_h F(h_0, x)u + R(u),$$

where $D_h F(h_0, x)$ is the Jacobian matrix at the point h_0 and $R(u) = O(u^2)$ contains the quadratic terms. In order to simplify the notation we let

$$(3.4) \quad \mathbf{J} = D_h F(h_0, x)$$

$$(3.5) \quad b = F(h_0, x).$$

The height variation $u = h - h_0$ is then the solution of the evolution problem

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{du}{dt} &= b + \mathbf{J}u + R(u), \\ u(t_0) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

which admits

$$(3.7) \quad u(\tau) = G(\mathbf{J}\tau)b\tau + \int_0^\tau \exp((\tau - \tau')\mathbf{J})R(u(\tau'))d\tau',$$

with

$$(3.8) \quad G(\mathbf{J}\tau) = \frac{\exp(\mathbf{J}\tau) - \mathbf{I}}{\mathbf{J}\tau}$$

as solution. The last expression is formally correct even if $\mathbf{J}$ is not invertible since the operator G can be expressed as the series $G(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} x^n / (n+1)!$. Since the functions G and $\exp$ tend to zero at $-\infty$, they filter the leftmost spectrum of the operator $\mathbf{J}$. For a semi-implicit scheme one has a similar situation: There is a rational function of $\mathbf{J}$ that plays the role of the filter. Yet, a rational function tends slower to zero than G and $\exp$ when approaching $-\infty$. Therefore, we expect the exponential propagation scheme to better filter the leftmost eigenvalues than the semi-implicit scheme. We will again take up the discussion of the advantage of choosing an exponentiation scheme over a semi-implicit scheme in Section B. Note, that the exponential propagation scheme has the important advantage of the existence of an analytical error estimator. Differentiating (3.7) one obtains

$$(3.9) \quad \partial_t u(\tau) = \exp(\mathbf{J}\tau)b + \int_0^\tau \exp((\tau - \tau')\mathbf{J})d\tau' + R(u(\tau))$$

allowing to deduce the analytical error

$$u_\epsilon = \tau [\partial_t(u)(t) - F(h + u)],$$

and to define the relative error

$$(3.10) \quad \epsilon_r = \frac{\|u_\epsilon\|}{\|u + h\|}.$$

The latter can be used to efficiently control the numerical error. Close to equilibrium, however, the variation u is small and one has to introduce an absolute tolerance ϵ_a . The error criterion becomes

$$(3.11) \quad \frac{\|u_\epsilon\|}{1 + \epsilon_a \|u + h\|} \leq \epsilon_a$$

which is similar to criteria proposed by [34] and [109].

3.2. Order of the scheme. If we consider only linear variations of the operator $F(h, x)$, i.e., $R(u) \equiv 0$ in Eq. (3.3), the first term of Eq. (3.7) is the exact solution of the evolution problem 3.6:

$$(3.12) \quad u^{(1)}(\tau) = G(\mathbf{J}\tau)b\tau.$$

The solution $h^{(1)}(\tau) = u^{(1)}(\tau) + h_0$ is then an approximation of second order with respect to time. If one wants to employ an exponential schemes of a higher order one has to take into account the non-linear term $R(u)$ in Eq. (3.7). Then the perturbation u can not any longer be obtained explicitly from Eq. (3.7). The strategy developed in [34] is to estimate u by successive approximations of the non-linear terms through the series $u^{(\ell)}$. Then, the resulting scheme of order $\ell + 1$ is given by

$$(3.13) \quad u^{(\ell)}(\tau) = u^{(1)}(\tau) + \sum_{k=2}^{\ell} \int_0^\tau \exp((\tau - \tau')\mathbf{J})c_k^\ell \left(\frac{\tau'}{\tau}\right)^k d\tau',$$

where the computation of the vectors c_k^ℓ is detailed in [34].

3.3. Krylov projection. Since Ref. [34] was published a plethora of high order approximations were developed [77, 40, 41, 104]. However, the accuracy of the exponential scheme does not only depend on the non-linear approximation of $R(u)$ but as well on the approximation of the vectors $v_g = G(\mathbf{J}\tau)b$ and $v_e = \exp(\mathbf{J}\tau)c$.

The latter are commonly performed using a projection onto a small Krylov subspace of dimension m computed by the classical Arnoldi algorithm. In the literature, this step does not constitute a difficulty as a good approximation of the action of the above operators is already obtained with a small Krylov subspace of about $m = 10$. In contrast, in our case we find as detailed in appendix A, that when using the Arnoldi method to obtain accurate results the Krylov subspace has to have a dimension of about $m = 100$. How can the bad convergence in the case of a thin film equation be explained? All examples chosen in the literature are second order equations (reaction-diffusion equation, Schrödinger equation). The thin film or lubrication equation, however, contains a fourth order operator. This indicates that the bad convergence of the Krylov Arnoldi algorithm results mainly from the presence of the bilaplacian as it has a worse conditioning than the Laplacian.

Therefore, one has to improve the Krylov projection step in order to be able to apply the exponential propagation method efficiently to lubrication equations. As our improvement can be applied in the same way to schemes of any order, we focus on the simplest exponential scheme, i.e. the linear approximation of order 2.

We refer the reader to appendix A for a detailed description of the two methods used to estimate $G(\mathbf{J}\tau)b$ and $\exp(\mathbf{J}\tau)c$ – the classical Krylov-Arnoldi projection and our proposed variations of that method. The key-idea of our improvement is to transform the spectrum of the operator $\mathbf{J}$ in order to accelerate the convergence of the Krylov approximation. It is well known that the Krylov-Arnoldi algorithm first tends to the part of the spectrum that has the largest modulus. However, the rightmost eigenvalues of $\mathbf{J}$ are the ones of primary interest for the time-stepping. To reach fast convergence we need to apply a transformation that allows these "wanted" eigenvalues to become the ones of largest modulus. Such transformations are commonly used when estimating the rightmost spectrum (see e.g. [110]). One can distinguish two main methods: Chebyshev acceleration and Cayley transform [59]. Only the latter one proves to be efficient in our case (Section A). However, the Cayley transformation requires an Incomplete-LU (ILU) factorization which needs $O(N^{3/2})$ steps. It turns out that a Cayley-Krylov method is the most efficient one as long as the system size stays below $N \approx O(10^5)$. This is shown in the appendix.

To summarize, the time integration of Eq. (2.1) is performed using an exponential propagation scheme that employs Krylov projection. The scheme is stable independent of the particular Krylov approximation used. For moderate system sizes of $N = O(10^5)$ the Cayley-Krylov projection furthermore allows to employ adaptive time-stepping. As it provides as well the leading modes at each time-step it proves to be a good tool to better understand the film dynamics.

4. Continuation of steady-state solutions.

4.1. Introduction. In this section we develop an algorithm to follow branches of steady-state solutions when varying a parameter p , i.e., we seek the branch (h, p) such that

$$(4.1) \quad F(h, p) = 0.$$

We adopt the 'classical' tangent predictor - secant corrector scheme [82]. For both steps the Jacobian has to be inverted. This operation is quite different from the ex-

ponentiation required in the time-stepping algorithm developed above. However, the procedure of Krylov reduction of the Jacobian using the Cayley transform is relevant for the needed inversion. Indeed, only a few eigen-directions associated to the eigenvalues around the origin of the complex plane are relevant for the inversion. Eigenvalues of large modulus –namely, our leftmost eigenvalues– are of negligible influence. Furthermore, their presence can even lead to numerical oscillations. Therefore, the Cayley transform is a good algorithm for the determination of the wanted eigen-directions using a Krylov reduction. The resulting detailed knowledge of the leading eigenvalue facilitates the stability analysis and allows to detect bifurcation points.

4.2. Tangent predictor - secant corrector method. First, we describe a continuation step as sketched in Fig. ???. One starts at point (h_0, P_0) that represents a steady state h_0 associated to the parameter value P_0 . Differentiating equation (4.1) one obtains the tangent direction (u_t, p_t) of the continuing branch at the point (h_0, P_0) as solution of the system

$$(4.2) \quad \mathbf{J}_0 u_t = -D_p F(h_0, P_0) p_t$$

where $\mathbf{J}_0 = D_h F(h_0, P_0)$ is the Jacobian. The (u_t, p_t) solution is entirely determined by fixing the amplitude of p_t . This is done by finding the maximal amplitude of p_t such that

$$(4.3) \quad \|F(h_0 + u_t, P_0 + p_t)\| < \epsilon_t \|h_0\|.$$

In this way one is not too far away from the steady state branch. Typically we take $10^{-3} < \epsilon_t < 10^{-1}$ depending of the kind of problem. We denote this intermediate point by (h_1, P_1) with

$$(4.4) \quad h_1 = h_0 + u_t$$

$$(4.5) \quad P_1 = P_0 + p_t.$$

The sign of p_t remains to be chosen. It only changes at saddle-node bifurcations. In the $(P, f(P))$ plane the passing of a saddle-node bifurcation is characterized by a sign change of $f'(P)$ and an increase of $f'(P)$ before reaching the bifurcation. If both conditions are fulfilled the sign of p_t has to be changed.

Next, one uses Newton's method to solve Eq. (4.1) close to (h_1, P_1) . The secant direction is taken orthogonal to the tangent direction (u_t, p_t) to ensure that one does not loose the branch of steady-state solutions even in the neighborhood of a turning point. For one of the Newton iteration steps that starts from (h_k, P_k) the condition reads

$$(4.6) \quad \mathbf{J}_k u_{k+1} + D_p F(h_k, P_k) p_{k+1} = -F(h_k, P_k)$$

$$(4.7) \quad \langle u_t, u_{k+1} \rangle = 0$$

$$(4.8) \quad h_{k+1} = h_k + u_{k+1}$$

$$(4.9) \quad P_{k+1} = P_k + p_{k+1}$$

where $\mathbf{J}_k = D_h F(h_k, P_k)$ and u_{k+1}, p_{k+1} are the unknowns at $k + 1$ Newton step. The Newton equation (4.6) and the orthogonality condition (4.7) can be written as the matrix equation

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{J}_k & D_p F(h_k, P_k) \\ u_t & p_t \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_{k+1} \\ p_{k+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -F(h_k, P_k) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{N} \begin{bmatrix} u_{k+1} \\ p_{k+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -F(h_k, P_k) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

One clearly sees, that the continuation step requires inversions of the Jacobian matrix $\mathbf{J}$ (tangent predictor) and of the matrix $\mathbf{N}$ (Newton corrector steps). Except at bifurcation points, the tangent equation (4.2) is invertible in the space E_0 . However, as explained before, we do not include mass conservation and continuity requirements of the space E_0 into the Jacobian matrix in order to preserve its band structure because of its importance for a fast ILU-factorization. The restriction to the space E_0 of the linear systems is ensured during the Krylov reduction (see Section A). As described next, here we apply the Cayley transform to perform the inversions.

4.3. Estimation of the tangent predictor. The Cayley-Krylov reduction of the equation (4.2) leads to

$$(4.11) \quad V_m \mathbf{J}_m V_m^t u_t = -b p_t = -D_p F(h_0, P_0) p_t.$$

where V_m is the Krylov basis consisting of m vectors in E_0 . It is constructed by letting the operator $\mathbf{C} = (\mathbf{J} - c\mathbf{I})^{-1}$ act on the vector $b = D_p F(u_0, p_0)$. The choice of the scalar c follows the same rules as in the time-stepping (section A). Using the QR-method one now obtains the spectrum of the reduced $m \times m$ Jacobian:

$$(4.12) \quad \mathbf{J}_m = \mathbf{P}_m \mathbf{D}_m \mathbf{P}_m^{-1}.$$

For the inversion we distinguish two cases: (i) if the kernel contains a non-zero eigenvector v_0 , then the pair $(v_0, 0)$ is the solution of the problem; (ii) otherwise we perform the inversion and the solution is given by

$$(4.13) \quad u_t = -V_m \mathbf{P}_m \mathbf{D}_m^{-1} \mathbf{P}_m^{-1} V_m^t b p_t.$$

In this way one is on the one hand able to detect bifurcation points and on the other hand one ensures that the continuation algorithm does not break down at turning points.

4.4. Computation of secant corrector. The same method is applied to perform one Newton step (4.10). However, the matrix $D_p F(h_k, P_k)$ is not a band matrix because of the presence of the full vectors: D_p in (4.6) and u_t in the orthogonality condition (4.7). Since only $\mathbf{J}$ is a band matrix we solve the equation

$$(4.14) \quad \mathbf{J} u_{k+1} = -F(u_k, P_k) - D_p F(u_k, P_k) p_{k+1}.$$

By solving the equations

$$(4.15) \quad \mathbf{J} u_{s1} = -F(u_k, P_k),$$

$$(4.16) \quad \mathbf{J} u_{s2} = -D_p F(u_k, P_k),$$

the solution space of (4.14) is the space of solutions of the linear combination of (4.15) and (4.16)

$$(4.17) \quad u_{k+1} = u_{s1} + p_{k+1} u_{s2}.$$

Finally, the scalar p_{k+1} is obtained by applying the orthogonality condition (4.7) to u_k :

$$(4.18) \quad \langle u_t, u_{s1} \rangle + p_{k+1} \langle u_t, u_{s2} \rangle = 0$$

Thus a Newton step needs an ILU-factorization of the Jacobian matrix and the solution of two equations using the Cayley-Krylov method. The latter has negligible cost compared to the ILU-factorization.

4.5. Bifurcation analysis and stability analysis. Because the rightmost spectrum of the Jacobian is known we have all information needed to assess the stability of solutions. Furthermore, during the tangent predictor step one is able to detect the possible presence of a bifurcation. The direction of the bifurcating branch may be deduced from the eigen-directions of the kernel. Although, the rightmost spectrum is normally well evaluated it may occur that the rightmost eigenvalue $\lambda_{\max}$ is not in the Krylov space (see Section A). Furthermore, the accuracy of the estimation of the rightmost eigenvalues is only about 10^{-3} . If one wants to determine the bifurcation point more accurately one has to apply a more efficient algorithm. For instance, one may adapt the block Arnoldi method [78] to the Cayley-Arnoldi algorithm. In the following the developed algorithms are utilized to study important open questions related to the dewetting of a thin film (Section 5) and the depinning of a pinned drop from a wettability defect (Section 6).

5. Short-time dynamics and coarsening for dewetting thin films. The dewetting process of a thin film can be initiated by two different mechanisms: Either via a surface instability (spinodal dewetting) or by heterogeneous nucleation at finite size defects [24, 89, 81, 90]. The only process that can initiate dewetting for metastable flat films is nucleation. Such a film is linearly stable and the finite perturbation has to be larger than a critical threshold given by an unstable steady state solution. The situation is, however, different for linearly unstable films. In general, there exists a critical wavelength $\lambda_c = 2\pi/k_c$. Any perturbation associated to a wavelength $\lambda > \lambda_c$ grows exponentially in time with a growth rate $\beta = -m(h_0)k^2(k^2 - k_c^2)$. The resulting short-time dewetting structure consists of a regular drop or hole pattern. The characteristic distance between them equals normally the wave length $\lambda_m = \sqrt{2}\lambda_c$ corresponding to the linear mode growing with the largest rate β_m . However, whether this instability mode represents the dominant mechanism depends on the character of the primary bifurcation: Deep inside the linearly unstable regime the bifurcation is supercritical and the film develops a surface instability with the properties described above. However, closer to the metastable region the primary bifurcation is subcritical. The present threshold solutions offer as second pathway of evolution a nucleation process as in the metastable regime [101, 103, 89, 4]. The latter process is normally dominant if the growth rate of the threshold solution is much larger than the linear growth rate β_m of the flat film. Under these circumstances, details of the dewetting process strongly depend on experimental conditions (amount of defects, roughness, noise). When nucleation dominates one expects larger drops or holes that are randomly distributed. We will use our algorithms to investigate (i) the dominance of either instability- or nucleation-triggered dewetting in the linear unstable thickness region in the 2d case; and (ii) quadratic and hexagonal steady state solutions in the 2d case and the character of their primary bifurcations. Both questions were not yet studied in the literature.

The short-time dewetting dynamics is followed by a very slow coarsening process. Indeed for a horizontal substrate, the system is variational and the only globally stable solution corresponds to the absolute minimum of the underlying Lyapunov functional. The corresponding solution is a single drop coexisting with the precursor film. The coarsening process corresponds to a cascade of two- (and three-) droplet mergers. This mass transfer can occur by two mechanisms based on a volume mode and a translation mode, respectively [49]. In the volume mode all mass of one droplet flows through the precursor film into the other droplet. The centers of mass of the droplets do not move. In contrast, in the translation mode the entire droplets move, approach each other

and merge. Both modes are related to the Goldstone mode of translational invariance for a single liquid front on an homogeneous substrate [49]. Their stabilization by a substrate heterogeneity is discussed in Ref. [91]. For our case, it implies that during coarsening the main part of the dynamics occurs in the contact line region of the drops. Furthermore, because this motion is related to the translation invariance the corresponding eigenvalues are close to zero, explaining the slowness of the process. Note that, from the point of view of a dynamical system a coarsening step can be interpreted as a heteroclinic connection between steady states.

5.1. The one-dimensional case. In order to compare our results for the one-dimensional case to the literature, simulations are performed at parameter values used in Ref. [103] employing disjoining pressure (I). The starting profile is a flat film with a small localized defect at the center. We utilize the Cayley transform with a regular mesh with $N = 1600$ for a domain size $\ell = 16\lambda_m$ (100 discretization points per λ_m). The cases of dominating surface instability and dominating nucleation only differ by the initial film thickness ($H = 2.4$ and $H = 3.2$, respectively).

Figures ??(a) and (b) give space-time contour plots of the long-time evolution in the two cases. In the case of a dominance of the surface instability (Fig. ??(a)), the initial growth phase ($t \leq 15\tau_m$) clearly results in a regular droplet assembly corresponding to the fastest linear mode of wavelength λ_m . In particular, we find 16 drops at equal distances. The profile and the evolution of the norm and the relative energy are in good agreement with the results in [103] (their figures 14(a) and 16(a)). For $H = 3.2$, the growth of a critical disturbance and the resulting hole is faster than the surface instability as expected. Further holes are subsequently nucleated by secondary nucleation events outside the rim around growing holes. The resulting short-time structure consists of larger drops than in the surface instability regime. In particular, before coarsening sets in we have only 9 drops. The duration of the initial 'rupture' in ??(b) is in good agreement with Fig. 16(b) of Ref. [103].

With the here presented method one is able to study the long-time coarsening far beyond the results of, e.g., [102, 91]. Note the logarithmic time scale in Fig. ?. One can clearly see that for a drop pattern both above mentioned coarsening mechanisms play a role. These mechanisms are in agreement with the one discussed in Ref. [36]. The merging of drops does not occur continuously slow but an extremely slow start of the process is combined with a very fast culmination. In consequence, the evolution of the energy in time shown in Fig. ?? shows long plateaus connected by 'jumps' resulting from the fast part of the transition to the coarser state. The combination of slow and fast dynamics is well simulated using our adaptive time-step method.

According to figure ?? the coarsening process is in the nucleation case slower than in the one dominated by the surface instability. Furthermore, it proceeds mainly via the mass transfer mode. Finally, two [five] drops remain in the surface instability [nucleation] case. In theory, coarsening should continue, but the evolution becomes so slow that we reach the limit of numerical accuracy, i.e., the eigenvalues related to the coarsening modes become smaller than the numerical accuracy. In particular, for leading eigenvalues smaller than 10^{-7} , the numerical noise is not negligible and we are not able to observe the next coarsening step.

In conclusion we can state that the developed algorithm well simulates the short- and long-time behavior in the 1d case. In particular, the short-time evolution agree well results obtained using a semi-implicit scheme with a constant time-step [103]. In contrast, here the time-step varies by 6 orders of magnitude allowing us to study the long-time coarsening. We reach the numerical limit during late coarsening stages

because the leading eigenvalues are then too close to zero.

5.2. The two-dimensional case. After utilizing the 1d case to show the reliability of our algorithm, we next employ the algorithms to study dewetting in the 2d case. All linear stability results introduced above still apply. In particular, the parameter regions where films are linearly unstable remains the same as do the most dangerous wavelength λ_m and corresponding growth rate β_m . However, in contrast to the 1d case, 2d patterns involve two wavevectors $\mathbf{k}_1$ and $\mathbf{k}_2$ that can lead to a number of different periodic steady state patterns [20]. Here, we track square and hexagonal patterns by imposing a periodic 30×30 square domain and a $30 \times 30\sqrt{3}$ rectangular domain, respectively. Choosing the mean film thickness H as control parameter we obtain the bifurcation diagrams in Figs. ??(a) and ??(a) for the square and hexagonal patterns, respectively. Note that the finite domain size results in critical film thicknesses that differ from the values for an infinite domain. In particular, one finds the upper limit at $H_2 = 2.95$ instead of the value $H_c = 3.63$ expected for an infinite domain. Fig. ??(a) shows that for the square pattern at H_2 a subcritical branch bifurcates from the trivial one. It becomes stable and turns back towards smaller thicknesses at a saddle-node bifurcation at $H_{SN} \simeq H_c$. In consequence, for $H_2 < H < H_c$ the flat film is metastable and can only dewet via a nucleation process that allows it to overcome the unstable threshold solution. All steady state profiles above $H \approx 2.5$ correspond to hole patterns (see Fig. ??(b)). Decreasing H further eventually the structure becomes a pattern of drops. The change corresponds to the rather steep change in the norm at $H \simeq 2.2$. At the transition both, holes and drops, show a square shape and form a checkerboard pattern (rotated by $\pi/4$, see states S_2 in Fig. ??(b)). At smaller H one always finds drop patterns. The branch turns again and becomes unstable at another saddle-node bifurcation before it joins the trivial solution subcritically at $H \approx H_1$.

In contrast to the square pattern, the hexagonal pattern does not display such a transition between drop and hole structures along a single branch. Interestingly, one finds two distinct branches (Fig. ??(a)): One consists of hexagonal patterns of holes whereas the other one shows only hexagonal drop patterns (Fig. ??(b)). In consequence, at each of the two bifurcation points at H_1 and H_2 two branches bifurcate from the trivial flat film solution. At the lower (upper) critical thickness the drop branch bifurcates subcritically (supercritically), whereas the hole branch bifurcates supercritically (subcritically). The respective subcritical branch gains stability at a respective saddle-node bifurcation. Remarkably, the supercritical branches do as well correspond to linearly unstable solutions. At some distance from the respective primary bifurcation point they gain stability through symmetry-breaking bifurcations.

Although the bifurcation analysis for a small domain is very instructive it does not allow to predict in a direct way which dewetting mechanism dominates for larger system sizes. Next, we employ our time-stepping algorithm to study the dewetting of a film in a large square domain. The use of different initial perturbations will allow to discuss the prevalence of either dewetting by nucleation or by surface instability inside the linearly unstable thickness range. As initial condition we chose a defect that shall compete with the surface mode that emerges from the initial film roughness. The defect is radially symmetric with a profile $h(r)$ that corresponds to the $h(x)$ in the 1d case. We consider film thicknesses $H = 2.4$ and $H = 3.2$ that lead in the 1d case to surface-instability-dominated and nucleation-dominated processes, respectively. First, we do not include any initial roughness (Fig. ??) and find, in consequence, that for both thicknesses the short-time evolution conserves the radial symmetry. In

the instability dominated case (Fig. ??(a)) the distance between consecutive maxima corresponds as expected to the fastest growing wavelength λ_m , whereas in the nucleation-dominated regime (Fig. ??(b)) the typical distance between rings is about twice λ_m . The radial symmetry is broken when the boundaries of the square box are felt. This influence kicks in earlier in the nucleation-dominated regime. Coarsening sets in after the short-time evolution. In the radially symmetric part it starts at the center and proceeds through a cascade of ring contractions. In the surface-instability-dominated case, driven by Laplace pressure the innermost ring contracts and forms a drop at the center ($t=15.4$ in Fig. ??(a)) that subsequently attracts the next rings ($t=122$, $t=259$ and $t=467$ in Fig. ??(a)). In the outer non-radially-symmetric part coarsening also proceeds: in the surface instability [nucleation] regime it is dominated by droplet [hole] fusion via the translation mode.

The good conservation of the initial radial symmetry shows that we have only a very small influence of (numerical) noise. This explains why normally in dewetting experiments with very thin films – that are much more prone to thermal noise and other tiny perturbations – no such regular structures are observed. However, recent related experiments with electrically destabilized thicker films show a radial ring structure when an inhomogeneous electrical field is applied in such a way that it plays the role of the finite size central circular defect (see Figs. 2 and 4 of Ref. [19]). There noise is much less important as in our Fig. ?. The spirals observed in Ref. [79] might result from a similar process, however, with a different initial defect.

We expect the relative importance of the processes driven by nucleation and surface instability to strongly depend on the noise in the system as a stronger noise will favor the surface instability. To determine its influence we perform several simulations using different surface roughnesses for the initial film. In particular, we add noise of 0.1% (Fig. ??) and 1% (Fig. ??) relative amplitude to the initial flat film with the centered defect.

As expected, the radial structure starting from the central defect has less time to evolve the larger the initial noise because the noise ‘accelerates’ the isotropic spinodal process. For the small 0.1% noise (Fig. ??) the radially-symmetric structure is still appreciable quite some time even into the coarsening process. However, coarsening eventually ‘washes out’ any memory of the initial defects. In particular, for the surface-instability-dominated case the second of the evolving rings is still circular (at $t = 4.92$ in Fig. ??(a)), but already the depression outside that ring has lost its complete radial symmetry. Instead it is a ring-like assembly of holes (at $t = 6.19$). In the nucleation case, only the first ring is circular (at $t = 4.29$ in Fig. ??(b)). The depression beyond it, however, emerges already as a circular pattern of holes, i.e., as a typical secondary nucleation pattern (cf. [4]). In both cases the initial defect has no further influence on the structure. The remaining area is covered by typical spinodal structures (see, however, the discussion of Fig. ?? below). For the stronger initial noise of 1% (Fig. ??) the initial defect has less influence on the resulting structure, i.e., the central radial structure is dominant during a very short time only (till about $t = 3 \dots 4$) and is later homogenized through coarsening.

For comparison, we finally simulate the dewetting starting with a flat film without defect but with an initial noise of 1% amplitude. A qualitative difference between $H = 2.4$ (Fig. ??(a)) and $H = 3.2$ (Fig. ??(b)) clearly shows already in the short-time behavior. At $t/t_0 = 3.8$ some holes are already formed for the thicker film while for the thinner one only slight surface modulations appear. At larger times labyrinthine and hole structures evolve and coarse for $H = 2.4$ and $H = 3.2$, respectively. Late

in the process, the labyrinthine pattern transforms into a drop pattern while for $H = 3.2$ the holes remain. However, also in the latter case in a larger domain and at later times drops will prevail. Note finally, that the location of the larger holes for the respective second snapshot for the two time evolutions in figure ?? are almost identical. This proves that the numerical noise is negligible as we used identical initial noise in the two simulations. The interpretation of the described behavior is subtle: The different morphologies observed for $H = 2.4$ and $H = 3.2$ is not related to being in the surface-instability- or nucleation-dominated regime as there exists no initial finite disturbances. These are normal non-linear structures evolving through a surface instability, i.e., changing from drops to labyrinths and finally to holes as one increases the film thickness (cf. Refs. [11, 12]). However, the higher speed of the non-linear process at $H = 3.2$ might well be related to the fact that we are in the nucleation-dominated regime, i.e., the surface instability allows modulations to grow that then act as nucleation sites as the faster nucleation modes kick in.

6. Depinning of a drop on a heterogeneous substrate . The second problem we focus on is the depinning of a pinned droplet. If one applies a lateral force on film/drop on a homogeneous substrate [$P \neq 0$ in Eq. (2.1)], one only finds traveling surface waves or sliding drops [102, 94]. No steady-state solutions exist, except the trivial flat film. On a heterogeneous substrate the situation is different because the heterogeneity (e.g., chemical or topographical defect) can pin a drop or a hole. Wettability defects are modeled by varying the disjoining pressure along the substrate. Here, we consider heterogeneities in the form of stripes, i.e., we modulate the disjoining pressure only in one spatial direction (here the x -direction). We choose the defect profile $\xi(x)$ based on Jacobi elliptic functions as described in [97, 96]. The specific used wettability profile is represented in the lower part of the panels of Fig. ?. The used disjoining pressure (III) is given by Eq. (2.4) with $b = 0.1$. The parameter ϵ represents the amplitude of the heterogeneity, i.e., the wettability contrast. If $\epsilon > 0$ [$\epsilon < 0$] the defect is less [more] wettable than the surrounding substrate, i.e., the defect is hydrophobic [hydrophilic].

When the lateral driving, e.g., the inclination of the substrate, is increased the pinned droplets are deformed and their center of mass slightly shifts until at a critical driving P_c the droplet depins. The analysis of the steady states and the depinning bifurcation is tackled using the continuation approach developed above in Section 4. In the 1d case, results are already available and can be found in Ref. [97, 96] where the continuation package AUTO [30] and an explicit time-stepping algorithm with adaptive time-step were used. We employ this case in Section 6.1 to validate our continuation code. As the results for identical parameters are entirely identical to the ones of Ref. [97, 96], we here present results for larger drops. In the subsequent Section 6.2) we explore the physically more realistic two-dimensional case which can not be treated using AUTO because the governing equations are not equivalent to an ODE system.

6.1. The one-dimensional case. First we consider shortly the 1d case. The domain size and the volume of liquid volume are fixed at $L = 50$ and $V = 75$, respectively (i.e., mean film thickness is $H = 1.5$). For a homogeneous substrate without lateral driving ($\epsilon = 0$ and $P = 0$), the critical wavelength for spinodal dewetting is $\lambda_c \simeq 15$, i.e., for the chosen domain size there exist at least steady states containing one, two or three drops. They bifurcate from the trivial flat film solution at $n\lambda_c$ with $n = 1, 2, 3$, respectively. If the primary bifurcation is subcritical there might be more solutions (cf. Ref. [95]).

To determine the various steady-state solutions for a heterogeneous substrate without lateral driving we use continuation when varying the heterogeneity strength ϵ for a heterogeneity period equal to the domain size. The initial solutions are readily found starting from a flat film solution without heterogeneity (Fig. ??, cf. as well Ref. [91]). Branches of steady-state solutions cross the axis $\epsilon = 0$ three times, corresponding to the one-, two- and three-drop solution for a homogeneous case that we introduced above. For a strong heterogeneity ($|\epsilon|$ sufficiently large) only the steady state remains that corresponds to a single drop. This is the most interesting solution for a study of depinning.

However, for smaller $|\epsilon|$ up to seven steady states can exist corresponding to various stable and unstable one-, two-, and three-drop equilibria. As Ref. [97] studied a smaller domain ($L = 25$) their Fig. 6 shows only one crossing of the axis $\epsilon = 0$. Studying the stability of the equilibria one finds that only the uppermost branch corresponds to stable solutions. All other branches terminate in saddle-node bifurcations.

Next, all stable and unstable steady-state branches are tracked when increasing the lateral driving force P . Bifurcation diagrams and selected profiles of pinned droplets are given in Figs. ?? and ??, respectively. The various branches found for $P = 0$ continue to exist for small driving as 'pinned solutions'. However, at critical values of the driving most cease to exist as they annihilate each other. Physically speaking, the heterogeneity can not retain the drops any more and they start to slide, i.e., they depin. Beyond a critical value P_c no steady drop does exist as even the stable single drop depins (Fig. ??). The bifurcations where solutions annihilate are for the shown cases SNIPER (Saddle Node Infinite PERiod) bifurcations. At P_c a branch of space- and time-periodic solutions emerges (not shown) that corresponds to sliding drops that are modulated by the heterogeneity profile. The temporal period diverges as one approaches P_c from above and the drop motion resembles stick-slip behavior (cf. Refs. [97, 96]).

Note, however, that selected branches exist for all P . We can distinguish two cases: (i) small amplitude branches showing no bifurcation start at $P = 0$ as the unstable three-drop state, e.g. the lowest curve for $\epsilon = \pm 0.3$ in Fig. ??; and (ii) the branch of the initially (at $P = 0$) stable one-drop solution which undergoes two or more saddle-nodes, e.g., the curve for $\epsilon = \pm 0.7$ in Fig. ?. In both cases for $P > P_c$ the branch consists of small amplitude solutions, i.e., it corresponds to film flow where the steady film surface is modulated by the heterogeneity. We find all such solutions to be unstable.

The results obtained up to here indicate that our continuation algorithm is well capable to follow stable and unstable steady states in the 1d case. The saddle-node bifurcation has been well detected and as expected no numerical stability problems have been encountered near the bifurcation. Next, the continuation algorithm has to prove its capabilities in the 2d case.

6.2. The two-dimensional case. In the 2d case we consider the depinning of drops from stripe-like wettability defects. In particular, we employ an x -dependent heterogeneity profile and choose the lateral forcing to be as well in x -direction. In this way a hydrophobic stripe blocks a drop at its front end whereas a hydrophilic one holds it at the back end. The steady-state droplets are continued for increasing lateral driving force P . Fig. ?? presents the bifurcation diagram for a single stable drop blocked by a hydrophobic stripe with $\epsilon = 0.3$. Stable (unstable) steady states are given as solid (dashed) lines: The stable drop blocked by the defect increases its norm with increasing driving as it is 'pressed' against the defect. The drop finally depins

at a saddle-node bifurcation ($P_c \approx 0.003$) where the stable branch turns back and loses stability. Time simulations beyond depinning show a typical stick-slip motion of drops with a period that diverges when the bifurcation is approached from above. The time averaged norm for selected values of P is indicated by triangles in Fig. ???. The results indicate that depinning occurs via a SNIPER bifurcation.

Examples of steady stable and unstable drop profiles are given in Figs. ??(a) and (b), respectively. The stable drop sits behind the line of minimal wettability of the defect. As it is squeezed against the defect by the driving force it has an oval shape. In contrast, the drop that represents an unstable equilibrium, has a 'forward protrusion' that crosses over the minimum of wettability. This solution represents a threshold for depinning for $P < P_c$, i.e., a small perturbation will either let the drop retract its advancing protrusion to again settle behind the defect *or* trigger a depinning event that sends the drop sliding down to the next defect where it is pinned again.

Note, that at large values of P , the norm of the remaining solutions on the lower branch does not tend to zero as in the 1d case where one finds an (unstable) modulated, nearly flat film. Indeed, the solution becomes a spatially modulated steady rivulet: a solution with small variations in the x -direction, with profiles in the y -direction that are similar to 1d drop solutions.

Let us, finally, come back to the computational problem. Apart from the increase of the system size N , in the 2d case one encounters a new difficulty related to the translation symmetry in y -direction. It implies that for each solution there exist a periodic orbit of solutions obtained by translation in y -direction that has to be avoided by the continuation algorithm. Neglecting numerical noise, the solutions possess a left-right reflection symmetry $y \rightarrow -y$ (Fig. ??). Therefore, the Jacobian is an equivariant operator for this left-right reflection. Thus, the action of the Jacobian on left-right symmetric vectors results in vectors with the same symmetry. This effectively excludes any translation in the y -direction. However, even small numerical noise becomes relevant when the solution is close to the trivial flat film state and the leading eigenvalues are very small as well. Indeed, that is exactly what we observe numerically in the above example. For $P > 0.1$ the solutions correspond to almost flat rivulet-type solutions and the continuation algorithm might stay on the orbit of solutions related by translation. This results in a mere translation of the rivulet in the y direction. The problem can be easily overcome by fixing the maximum at a particular point. However, this might cause problems in more complex cases when various maxima may coexist. To avoid any ambiguity, we prefer to use the same technique as for the problem of mass conservation. In particular, when applying the Krylov procedure, we project the basis vectors orthogonally to the translation mode $\partial_y h_0$. This does not change the structure of the algorithm and is performed at negligible cost (see Section A).

7. Conclusion. We have developed (i) a time integration scheme based on exponential propagation and (ii) a continuation algorithm employing the Cayley transform for the non-linear lubrication equations. These equations contain differential operators till fourth order. To avoid severe stability restrictions on the time-step, the linear term may be treated implicitly. However, for the lubrication equations the difficulty is to find a relevant linear operator. In consequence we use the Jacobian matrix at each time-step. In this framework, an exponential propagation scheme is more efficient than a semi-implicit scheme [41, 104]. The method is based on an exact solution of the linear problem for each time-step. This involves the determination of the exponential of a matrix. To do this in an economic way, the linear operators may be

reduced to small Krylov subspaces. However, we find that for the lubrication equation (that contains the fourth order Bilaplacian operator) the necessary dimension of the Krylov subspaces is typically about 100 – much larger than used in Ref. [34] for a problem involving only a second order operator.

To accelerate the convergence, we have coupled the Arnoldi algorithm with the Cayley transform that is performed using an ILU-factorization. This Cayley-Arnoldi method accelerates the convergence of the Krylov reduction and, in consequence, allows to take larger time-steps. Furthermore, the leading eigenvalue is well estimated and can be used to effectively adapt the time-step to the changing characteristic time scale of the dynamics. This allows for a major improvement in simulations of the very slow coarsening dynamics of one- or two-dimensional systems [103, 12, 91, 84].

We have also developed an algorithm for the continuation (or path-following) of steady states that is based on the tangent predictor - secant corrector scheme. Both tasks – time stepping and continuation – can be performed using the same Cayley-Arnoldi algorithm. The advantage of this approach is the possibility to perform all the bifurcation analysis tasks at the same time. This includes the computation of the kernel of the Jacobian for the bifurcation detection, and stability analysis (evaluation of leading eigenvalues).

The developed algorithms have been used to study the bifurcational structure and time evolution of (i) dewetting thin films and (ii) droplets depinning from a heterogeneity for physically two- and three-dimensional settings, that correspond to 1d and 2d equations, respectively.

For the dewetting film we have investigated different pathways for the initial 'rupture', i.e., the short-time evolution. The focus has been on the competition of the surface instability and the nucleation at defects. The long-time coarsening dynamics has as well been studied. For the 1d case, we could follow the coarsening process till $10^6 \tau_m$ where τ_m is the characteristic time of the surface instability. In the two-dimensional case, we have found that the short-time dewetting process induced by a circular defect conserves the radial symmetry until disturbed by the boundaries of the square domain. This indicates the very small influence of round-off errors in our algorithm. In this case the long-time coarsening proceeds via a cascade of ring contractions. However due to thermal fluctuations such regular structures are not observed in dewetting experiments with very thin films. However, they are observed for dielectric films in a capacitor when an inhomogeneous electrical field is applied in such a way that it plays the role of the finite size central circular defect [19]. Adding noise to the initial conditions we recover the 'classical' labyrinthine dewetting structures deep inside the linearly unstable region or hole patterns for thicker films. Using the Cayley-Arnoldi method for a system size of about $10\lambda_m \times 10\lambda_m$, we have been able to simulate the coarsening dynamics till reaching a single drop, i.e., the globally stable solution (Fig. ??). Beside the time evolution we have employed continuation to study for the first time 2d steady state solutions corresponding to square and hexagonal arrangements of drops or holes.

Furthermore, we have applied our framework to study the depinning of ridges (1d case) and drops (2d case) that are pinned at substrate heterogeneities. The pinned drop solution has been followed in various parameters using the developed path-following algorithm. In particular, we have used the wettability contrast related to the amplitude of the profile of the heterogeneity ϵ and the lateral driving force P . The one-dimensional case we have used as a benchmark for our algorithm and have successfully reproduced results obtained in Refs. [97, 96] using the package AUTO [30].

We have as well been able to track two-dimensional stable and unstable steady drop and rivulet solutions. This has been supplemented by a study of the time-dependent solutions beyond depinning. This has led us to the conclusion that depinning occurs via a SNIPER bifurcation and that beyond (but close to) the bifurcation the droplets move showing a stick-slip behavior.

Although our approach improves the time integration and path-following for lubrication equations, the constant equidistant finite difference spatial discretization remains very basic. The weakness of such a regular discretization appears, for instance, when tracking large drops pinned at hydrophobic defects. For increasing driving force the stable drop becomes strongly localized at the defect. However, the unstable solutions for the same driving force is very close to the stable one (as already observed in the 2D case for very large drops – see Fig. 29 of Ref. [97]). To clearly distinguish the two solutions numerically a higher accuracy is required. This can be achieved using an adaptive mesh. In our particular system, for instance, the continuation procedure applied to a drop on a 50×50 square domain breaks down near the depinning bifurcation. This accuracy problem is similar to the one encountered in the last stages of coarsening in Section 5.1 where a mesh refinement is required near the droplet edges. An adaptive mesh would not only decrease the size of the discretization space but we expect that it would also improve the conditioning of the Jacobian matrix.

The physical situations we have presented here have been restricted to the specific lubrication equation for films and drops on partially wettable homogeneous and heterogeneous substrates. Beside the curvature pressure the disjoining pressure has been the only term resulting from the underlying free energy. However, the method can be applied to various thin film systems involving other contributions to the free energy. This includes, for instance, thin films of dielectric liquid in a capacitor [56, 60, 108], heated thin films unstable due to a long-wave Marangoni instability [67, 106, 12, 95], films with an effective thickness dependent surface tension caused by high-frequency vibration [53, 100] and film flows in a time-dependent ratchet potential [47, 46].

The algorithms can also be applied to multilayers problems in the long-wave framework like, for instance, in bilayer dewetting [71, 33, 2, 72] or to systems involving a single thin film equation coupled to a (reaction-)diffusion equation for a reactive [23, 68] or non-reactive [44, 58] surfactant or adsorbate at the substrate [93, 45]. For those problems the overall structure of the equations does not change. Only the system size N is multiplied by the number of equations. The time-stepping and continuation code can be applied without major change.

In general, we expect the method to be relevant as well for closely related evolution equations like, for instance, the (driven) Cahn-Hilliard equation [17, 37] or the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky [51, 15] equation which both contain the Bilaplacian operator. However, in contrast to the lubrication equation for those equations the mobility function $m(h)$ multiplying the Bilaplacian is a constant (although this is an approximation in the case of the Cahn Hilliard equation). As mentioned at the begin of Section 3, the presence of the non-constant mobility function has been one reason for our choice of the Jacobian matrix as linear operator at each time-step. This implies that for the constant-mobility Cahn-Hilliard and the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation, this choice is not crucial and a classical semi-implicit scheme with $\mathbf{L} = \Delta^2$ as linear operator might result in a viable scheme. The efficiency of both time integration schemes has to be compared to decide which is the most powerful method.

The presented continuation algorithm for steady-state solutions, can be improved in a straight forward manner by adapting it for stationary states, i.e., for travelling

waves or sliding drops. These can be seen as steady-state solution in a co-moving frame. For the case of a driving force in x -direction, solutions are also invariant w.r.t. translation in x -direction. The resulting problems can be overcome using the same technique as above for the translational invariance in the y -direction. Thus, the Cayley-Arnoldi method can still be applied without major change. The extended algorithm would be applicable to the study of sliding drops on inclined homogeneous substrates. In particular, the onset of the morphological transition between single stable sliding drops to drops that emit small droplets at the back would be a worthwhile subject for further study [69, 86]

Appendix A. Krylov reductions.

A.1. Arnoldi-Krylov. The approximation of $v_g = G(\mathbf{J}\tau)b$ and $v_e = \exp(\mathbf{J}\tau)c$ constitutes a crucial step of our time integration algorithm (end of Section 3). Here, we propose to use a Krylov reduction as it is usually employed for sparse operators. One aims at obtaining an accurate approximation so that the time-step is only limited by the order of the scheme. Because the approximation of both vectors v_g and v_e can be performed using the same technique, we only focus on v_g (corresponding to the second order linear scheme Eq. (3.12)). The main idea of the Krylov reduction is that the series of subspaces

$$(A.1) \quad K_m = \text{span} \{b, \mathbf{J}b, \mathbf{J}^2b, \dots, \mathbf{J}^{m-1}b\}$$

converge to a finite dimension Krylov subspace K_M which contains v_g . Obviously, it is only an efficient method if the subspace K_m is a good approximation of v_g for $m \ll N$. The Arnoldi method is a standard method to construct an orthonormal basis V_m of the subspace K_m . The approximated Jacobian matrix, denoted $\mathbf{J}_m$, is then a $m \times m$ upper Hessenberg matrix:

$$(A.2) \quad \mathbf{J}_m = V_m^t \mathbf{J} V_m.$$

Relation (A.2) can be used to approximate

$$(A.3) \quad v_g = G(\mathbf{J}\tau)b \simeq V_m G(\mathbf{J}_m\tau) V_m^t b.$$

Since the dimension m is small, the classical QR algorithm is a reliable and efficient method to diagonalize $\mathbf{J}_m$ to obtain the matrix D_m and then to compute $G(\mathbf{J}_m\tau)$. The sought for approximation of the vector v_g is given by

$$(A.4) \quad v_g \simeq V_m \mathbf{P}_m G(D_m\tau) \mathbf{P}_m^{-1} V_m^t b$$

where $\mathbf{P}_m$ is the matrix of the eigenvectors of $\mathbf{J}_m$. The columns of the rectangular matrix $(V_m \mathbf{P}_m)$ are the Ritz vectors, i.e., the approximated eigenvectors of $\mathbf{J}$. Since the dynamics is dominated by the rightmost eigenvalues of $\mathbf{J}$ a good Krylov approximation implies that the Ritz vectors are close to the rightmost eigen-directions. We call them the 'wanted' eigendirections. However, it is known that the Krylov-Arnoldi algorithm converges first to the eigenvalues of largest modulus. The latter are situated in the leftmost spectrum and are the main reason for stability problems. We call them 'unwanted'. Our aim is to improve the Krylov-Arnoldi method by adapting algorithms used to estimate the rightmost spectrum. To do so we transform the spectrum of $\mathbf{J}$ in such a way that the wanted eigendirections are associated to the eigenvalues of largest modulus. Thus, by applying the classical Krylov-Arnoldi to this transformed

operator the wanted eigendirections are selected after a few steps. There exist two main transformations for asymmetric sparse systems—Chebyshev acceleration and shift invert Cayley transform—whose efficiency will be discussed next.

Note, that the Krylov reduction allows to introduce supplementary requirements on the discretized space in a simple way. For instance, in order to preserve the volume during application of the Arnoldi algorithm the direction corresponding to a variation of volume is suppressed. Therefore, one ensures that the height h remains in the Euclidian space $\{H + E_0\}$ (Section 2.2) during the time-stepping. In a similar manner, the directions related to translation invariance may be suppressed during the continuation algorithm to avoid problem as mentioned at the end of Section 6.2.

A.2. Chebyshev acceleration. The approximation (Eq. (A.3)) can be interpreted as a polynomial approximation since the basis V_m is a sum of elements of K_m (Eq. (A.1)) [77]:

$$(A.5) \quad v_G \simeq p_m(\mathbf{J}\tau)b$$

where p_m is a polynomial of degree $m-1$. The principle of the Chebyshev acceleration is to seek an optimal polynomial to accelerate the convergence. In [57] it is shown that scaled and translated Chebyshev polynomials have certain optimal convergence properties. The main reason of the success of the Chebyshev polynomial is the possibility to decrease some 'unwanted' eigendirections contained in an ellipse. This property is employed to compute the rightmost eigenvalues of large sparse non-symmetric matrices [39, 78]. However, in our case the application of the algorithms presented in [59] does not significantly improve the convergence of the Krylov approximation. Indeed, according to [78] the rapidity of the convergence is directly affected by the accumulation of the rightmost eigenvalues. In consequence, a polynomial approximation does not result in the improvement of the Krylov reduction.

A.3. Cayley transform. Unlike the Chebyshev method, the Cayley transform is not polynomial but rational. Let us introduce the transformed operator $\mathbf{C}$:

$$(A.6) \quad \mathbf{C} = \mathbf{J}_c^{-1} = (\mathbf{J} - c\mathbf{I})^{-1}$$

where c is a real constant that has to be chosen. The matrix $\mathbf{C}$ contains the eigenvectors of $\mathbf{J}$ but has a different spectrum. If c is chosen to be larger than the leading eigenvalue $\lambda_{\max}$ of $\mathbf{J}$, the spectrum of $\mathbf{C}$ falls into the band $[(\text{Re}(\lambda_{\max}) - c)^{-1}; 0[$. In consequence, the wanted eigen-directions of $\mathbf{J}$ correspond to the eigenvalues of $\mathbf{C}$ with the largest modulus. Therefore, the orthonormal basis V_m constructed using the Arnoldi procedure within $\mathbf{C}$ should converge after a few steps to the wanted eigen-directions. One introduces the approximate operator $\mathbf{C}_m$

$$(A.7) \quad \mathbf{C}_m = V_m^t \mathbf{C} V_m$$

and diagonalizes $\mathbf{C}_m$ using the QR method (similar to the Arnoldi-Krylov reduction):

$$(A.8) \quad \mathbf{C}_m = \mathbf{P}_m \mathbf{D}_{\text{cayley}} \mathbf{P}_m^{-1}.$$

Finally according to the definition (A.6) and the Krylov reduction (A.7) we obtain the approximation of v_g

$$(A.9) \quad v_g = G(\mathbf{J}\tau)b \simeq V_m \mathbf{P}_m G(\mathbf{D}_{\text{cayley}}^{-1} + c\mathbf{I}) \mathbf{P}_m^{-1} V_m^t b.$$

The efficiency of the algorithm depends strongly on the choice of c . Indeed if $c \gg \text{Re}(\lambda_{\max})$, then the Cayley transform is not relevant any more since $\mathbf{C} \simeq -c^{-1}\mathbf{I}$. In addition, if c is close to an eigenvalue of $\mathbf{J}$, the operator $\mathbf{J}-c\mathbf{I}$ becomes singular and the method diverges. Numerical calculations show on the one hand, that for moderately large values of c (as compared to $\text{Re}(\lambda_{\max})$) the accuracy decreases notably. On the other hand, a choice of c close to $\text{Re}(\lambda_{\max})$ leads to very accurate results even if $c < \text{Re}(\lambda_{\max})$. In consequence, a good choice is to take a constant c^k at time-step k that is slightly larger than $\text{Re}(\lambda_{\max}^{k-1})$ as estimated in the previous time-step $k-1$. The constant c^k could then be smaller or larger than $\text{Re}(\lambda_{\max}^k)$. In rare cases it might be very close to an eigenvalue of the Jacobian matrix $\mathbf{J}$. However, such a degeneracy would be detected automatically by the presence of huge rightmost eigenvalues of the matrix $\mathbf{C}$. So, in that singular case the time-step is performed again with a larger value of c .

The difficulty of the method remains the evaluation of the action of $\mathbf{C}$, defined as the inverse of $\mathbf{J}_c$, on the vector b . It is obtained utilizing an Incomplete LU-factorization (denoted ILU) on $\mathbf{J}_c$ which is a powerful method for sparse band-matrices. The cost of this factorization is about $O(N^{3/2})$. All other operations are $O(N)$ we expect that the ILU slows down the algorithm for large systems.

A.4. Comparison. We next compare the Krylov-Arnoldi and Cayley-Arnoldi methods for the estimation the vector v_g . Even though the Krylov reduction does not depend on the chosen time-step τ , we expect that the approximation of v_g does. We aim at a Krylov reduction that is accurate enough to not add a restriction on the time-step τ . For a second order linear scheme Eq. (3.12) the relevant time scale is about the inverse of the leading eigenvalue, denoted τ_λ . This value is employed in the different numerical convergence tests.

In the one-dimensional case, v_g may be computed by a direct method as, for instance, a QR-diagonalization. The latter is taken as reference value v_{ref} for the relative error. Unfortunately, for the two-dimensional case, the system may be large, i.e. $N = O(10^5)$, and a QR-diagonalization dramatically increases the CPU cost and memory requirements (being proportional to N^3). Thus, in this case, the reference solution v_{ref} is computed using the Cayley-Arnoldi method for a Krylov subspace dimension m large enough to obtain convergence.

Figs. ?? and ?? present selected results for the convergence of the time-stepping scheme for the dewetting problem in the 1d and 2d case, respectively. Both panels (a) shown an extremely slow convergence of the classical Krylov method. Krylov subspaces with dimensions $m \approx 100$ have still a relative error of 10^{-4} . An accuracy of about 10^{-6} is obtained by a 800-dimensional Krylov subspace (Fig. ??(a)). In contrast, with the Cayley-method, the same accuracy is obtained with Krylov subspaces of much small dimensions $m \approx 10 \dots 30$. For a time-step τ smaller than the characteristic time τ_λ , the convergence is notably improved for the classical Krylov algorithm only. This indicates that for this Krylov reduction the eigenvalues are badly approximated contrary to a Krylov algorithm using the Cayley transform. We find that the efficiency of the two methods is equivalent for $N \simeq 10^5$. By 'efficiency' we mean the ratio between the time-step τ and the CPU time cost for a relative error of 10^{-6} .

In conclusion, the Cayley transform emerges as a powerful method to perform an accurate Krylov reduction. However, its efficiency is limited by the ILU-factorization which considerably slows down the time-step for large system $N > 10^5$. In this case the simple Arnoldi procedure is preferable and the accuracy of the time integration scheme

is determined by the Krylov approximation. Finally, the Cayley-Arnoldi reduction may be applied to higher order schemes such as those presented in [41, 104]. Since it is not required to use another ILU-factorization this can be done at negligible cost.

Appendix B. Discussion of algorithms.

B.1. Algorithms. As the time-stepping algorithm based on the simple Arnoldi procedure is essentially as in [34], we only present the one that employs the Cayley transform. Since, the Cayley-Arnoldi method allows to estimate the leading eigenvalue λ_m which can be used to determine a time-step τ_k independently of the previous time-step τ_{k-1} . The relative profile variation defined by

$$(B.1) \quad \epsilon_{\text{var}} = \frac{\|u^{(1)}\|}{\|h\|}.$$

can be approximated using Eq. (3.12) with the Jacobian matrix $\mathbf{J}$ replaced by the scalar λ_m . Then for a given variation ϵ_{var} we obtain the time-step

$$(B.2) \quad \tau_k = \frac{1}{\lambda_m} \ln \left(1 + \lambda_m \epsilon_{\text{var}} \frac{\|h\|}{\|F(h)\|} \right)$$

In the present work we fix the typical value of ϵ_{var} at about a few percent. The relative error ϵ_r resulting from the estimate (B.2) is rarely larger than a 10^{-6} . Incorporating such a procedure to adapt the time step we obtain the computational schemes:

Time-stepping with Cayley-Arnoldi method

. We impose

- the computational grid $(N, \delta x)$
- and the tolerance of the relative error ϵ_{tol} ;

Start with h_0, t_0 ; and

1. Determine $b = F(h_0, x)$, $\mathbf{J} = D_h F(h_0)$;
2. Compute the matrices L, U of the ILU-factorization;
3. Construct Krylov subspace associated with b and $\mathbf{J}$, determine the matrices $V_m, \mathbf{P}_m, \mathbf{D}_m$ using the Cayley-Arnoldi method;
4. Determine the leading eigenvalue λ_m as good estimate, then deduce the time-step using Eq. (B.2);
5. Compute $u^{(1)}$ given by Eq. (3.12);
6. Non-linear correction: determine the matrices $V_m, \mathbf{P}_m, \mathbf{D}_m$ using the Cayley-Arnoldi method;
7. Evaluate the relative error ϵ using Eq. (3.10), distinguish two cases:
 - (a) If $\epsilon_r < \epsilon_{\text{tol}}$ the time-step is performed,
 - (b) Otherwise decrease the time-step $\tau \rightarrow \tau/2$ and restart at step (5).

Path-following with Cayley-Arnoldi method

. We impose

- the computational grid $(N, \delta x)$
- and the tolerance of the relative error ϵ_{tol} ;

Start with h_0, p_0 ; and

1. Determine $b = -D_p F(h_0, p_0)$, $\mathbf{J} = D_h F(h_0, p_0)$;
2. Compute the matrices L, U of the ILU-factorization of $\mathbf{J}$;
3. Construct Krylov subspace associated with b and $\mathbf{J}$, determine the matrices $V_m, \mathbf{P}_m, \mathbf{D}_m$ using the Cayley-Arnoldi method;
4. Determine the tangent direction (u_t, dp_t) , let $h_1 = h_0 + u_t$ and $p_1 = p_0 + dp_t$;
5. While $\|F(h_k, p_k, x)\| > \epsilon_{\text{tol}}$ we

- (a) Determine $c = -F(h_k, p_k, x)$, $d = -D_p F(h_k, p_k, x)$ and $\mathbf{J} = D_h F(h_k, p_k, x)$;
- (b) Compute $V_m, \mathbf{P}_m, \mathbf{D}_m$ using the Cayley-Arnoldi method (with L, U matrices of step (2));
- (c) Inverse the equations (4.15) and (4.16) with condition (4.18).

B.2. Comparison to 'classical' algorithms. The emphasis of both algorithms lies on the Cayley-transformation of $\mathbf{J}$ using the ILU factorization. As the complexity of this task is $O(N^{3/2})$ the CPU cost is a more important issue as for other schemes in the literature, in particular, the time-stepping schemes. We may ask us how relevant is our choice?

If one wanted to implement a classical implicit time integrator one would need to solve a linear system involving the matrix $\mathbf{J}$. For instance, a backward Euler scheme leads to the system $(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{J}\tau)u = b\tau$, where b is a known vector. A typical choice for the latter is the use of an iterative Krylov method as, e.g., the GEMRES method which is a good candidate for unsymmetric matrices. The efficiency of this method does strongly depend on the knowledge of an effective preconditioner. Without preconditioner, the inversion for a simple semi-implicit scheme (backward Euler) converges very slowly and almost fails to obtain the wanted tolerance. As noted at the end of Section 2 no general preconditioner exists for $\mathbf{J}$. Therefore an ILU factorization of the matrix appears to be the only systematic way to construct an effective preconditioner. Therefore, a clear analogy exists between the exponential scheme and a semi-implicit scheme. On the one hand the Arnoldi algorithm to compute $G(\mathbf{J}\tau)$ is equivalent to an iterative method without preconditioner to solve the linear system in an implicit scheme. On the other hand, the Cayley-Arnoldi algorithm to compute $G(\mathbf{J}\tau)$ is equivalent to an iterative method with LU preconditioner to solve the linear system in an implicit scheme. Judging the complexity of the algorithm, a classical implicit and an exponential propagations algorithm are roughly equivalent schemes at the same order. However, exponential schemes seem to have two advantages:

- The G and $\exp$ functions have a better leftmost spectrum filtering property than rational functions.
- Literature results indicate that the Krylov techniques converge faster when employed for the evaluation of $G(\mathbf{J}\tau)b$ and $\exp(\mathbf{J}\tau)$ than when employed for the solution of a linear system [41].

Furthermore, does the particular Cayley-Arnoldi exponential propagation method used have the ability to give an estimation of the leading eigenvalues what the implicit method is not able to do. This information allows for an adaptive time-step that constitutes a major advantage when studying dynamics characterized by different time scales. In addition, it is an important information that allows to perform non-standard stability analysis as, e.g., employed by Münch [63] to detect the formation of fingers during the dynamic opening of a single hole in dewetting.

Although the shift Cayley-transform is a standard method to find the rightmost eigenvalues of a operator (see, e.g., Ref. [110]) its application in a continuation algorithm is less common. However, when solving a linear system within the Newton algorithm one faces the same problems as discussed above in the context of time-stepping. Thus, an effective solution method requires the use of the LU preconditioner. However, our algorithm does not use the ILU factorization for a direct inversion, but rather to find the rightmost eigenvalues. The advantage of this approach is the ability to determine the tangent direction even close to a saddle-node bifurcation. Furthermore, at a bifurcation point, the directions of (different) bifurcating branches can be found during step 4 of the algorithm.

We emphasize that the numerical difficulties encountered in the time-stepping and the continuation task are both overcome using the Cayley transform. This is reminiscent to the analysis of Tuckerman and Barkley [105] who point out that the classical implicit time-stepping scheme can be adapted to perform the bifurcation tasks. The developed algorithms overcome two main problems: (i) the operator has no 'simple' relevant linear part (different spatial scaling), and (ii) the "very bad" conditioning of the bilaplacian. For the current computers, the Cayley-Arnoldi method is the most efficient method for a moderate system size of $N = O(10^5)$. In consequence, the scheme is difficult to adapt it for three-dimensional PDE's.

Acknowledgments. We acknowledge support by the European Union via the FP7 Marie Curie scheme [Grant PITN-GA-2008-214919 (MULTIFLOW)] and by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft under grant SFB 486, project B13.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. ABOU, G. N. DE SURGY, AND J. E. WESFREID, *Dispersion relation in a ferrofluid layer of any thickness and viscosity in a normal magnetic field; asymptotic regimes*, J. Phys. II, 7 (1997), pp. 1159–1171.
- [2] D. BANDYOPADHYAY, R. GULABANI, AND A. SHARMA, *Stability and dynamics of bilayers*, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 44 (2005), pp. 1259–1272.
- [3] J. BECKER AND G. GRÜN, *The thin-film equation; recent advances and some new perspectives*, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter, 17 (2005), pp. S291–S307.
- [4] J. BECKER, G. GRÜN, R. SEEMANN, H. MANTZ, K. JACOBS, K. R. MECKE, AND R. BLOSSEY, *Complex dewetting scenarios captured by thin-film models*, Nat. Mater., 2 (2003), pp. 59–63.
- [5] M. BEN AMAR, L. CUMMINGS, AND Y. POMEAU, *Singular points of a moving contact line*, C R Acad. Sci. Ser. IIB, 329 (2001), pp. 277–282.
- [6] F. BERNIS AND A. FRIEDMAN, *Higher order nonlinear degenerate parabolic equations*, J. Diff. Equ., 83 (1990), pp. 179–206.
- [7] A. L. BERTOZZI AND M. P. BRENNER, *Linear stability and transient growth in driven contact lines*, Phys. Fluids, 9 (1997), pp. 530–539.
- [8] A. L. BERTOZZI, G. GRÜN, AND T. P. WITELSKI, *Dewetting films: Bifurcations and concentrations*, Nonlinearity, 14 (2001), pp. 1569–1592.
- [9] A. L. BERTOZZI, A. MÜNCH, X. FANTON, AND A. M. CAZABAT, *Contact line stability and "undercompressive shocks" in driven thin film flow*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 81 (1998), pp. 5169–5173.
- [10] A. L. BERTOZZI, A. MÜNCH, AND M. SHEARER, *Undercompressive shocks in thin film flows*, Physica D, 134 (1999), pp. 431–464.
- [11] M. BESTEHORN AND K. NEUFFER, *Surface patterns of laterally extended thin liquid films in three dimensions*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 87 (2001), p. 046101.
- [12] M. BESTEHORN, A. POTOTSKY, AND U. THIELE, *3D large scale Marangoni convection in liquid films*, Eur. Phys. J. B, 33 (2003), pp. 457–467.
- [13] D. BONN, J. EGGERS, J. INDEKEU, J. MEUNIER, AND E. ROLLEY, *Wetting and spreading*, Rev. Mod. Phys., (submitted).
- [14] J. BROWAEYS, J.-C. BACRI, C. FLAMENT, S. NEVEU, AND R. PERZYNSKI, *Surface waves in ferrofluids under vertical magnetic field*, Eur. Phys. J. B, 9 (1999), pp. 335–341.
- [15] H. S. BROWN, I. G. KEVREKIDIS, A. ORON, AND P. ROSENAU, *Bifurcations and pattern formation in the regularized Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation*, Phys. Lett. A, 163 (1992), pp. 299–308.
- [16] J. M. BURGESS, A. JUEL, W. D. MCCORMICK, J. B. SWIFT, AND H. L. SWINNEY, *Suppression of dripping from a ceiling*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 86 (2001), pp. 1203–1206.
- [17] J. W. CAHN AND J. E. HILLIARD, *Free energy of a nonuniform system. 1. Interfacial free energy*, J. Chem. Phys., 28 (1958), pp. 258–267.
- [18] A. M. CAZABAT, F. HESLOT, S. M. TROIAN, AND P. CARLES, *Fingering instability of thin spreading films driven by temperature gradients*, Nature, 346 (1990), pp. 824–826.
- [19] L. CHEN, L. ZHUANG, P. DESHPANDE, AND S. CHOU, *Novel polymer patterns formed by lithographically induced self-assembly (LISA)*, Langmuir, 21 (2005), pp. 818–821.
- [20] J. CONWAY, *The orbifold notation for surface groups*, in Groups, Combinatorics and Geome-

- try, M. W. Liebeck and J. S. (eds.), eds., Proceedings of the L.M.S. Durham Symposium, Durham, UK, July 5-15 1992.
- [21] B. P. COOK, A. L. BERTOZZI, AND A. E. HOSOI, *Shock solutions for particle-laden thin films*, SIAM J. Appl. Math., 68 (2008), pp. 760–783.
 - [22] M. C. CROSS AND P. C. HOHENBERG, *Pattern formation out of equilibrium*, Rev. Mod. Phys., 65 (1993), pp. 851–1112.
 - [23] Z. DAGAN AND L. M. PISMEN, *Marangoni waves induced by a multistable chemical reaction on thin liquid films*, J. Colloid Interface Sci., 99 (1984), pp. 215–225.
 - [24] P.-G. DE GENNES, *Wetting: Statics and dynamics*, Rev. Mod. Phys., 57 (1985), pp. 827–863.
 - [25] P.-G. DE GENNES, F. BROCHARD-WYART, AND D. QUÉRÉ, *Gouttes, bulles, perles et ondes*, Belin, Paris, 2006.
 - [26] R. J. DEISSLER AND A. ORON, *Stable localized patterns in thin liquid films*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 68 (1992), pp. 2948–2951.
 - [27] B. V. DERJAGUIN, N. V. CHURAEV, AND V. M. MULLER, *Surface Forces*, Consultants Bureau, New York, 1987.
 - [28] E. DOEDEL, H. B. KELLER, AND J. P. KERNEVEZ, *Numerical analysis and control of bifurcation problems (I) Bifurcation in finite dimensions*, Int. J. Bifurcation Chaos, 1 (1991), pp. 493–520.
 - [29] ———, *Numerical analysis and control of bifurcation problems (II) Bifurcation in infinite dimensions*, Int. J. Bifurcation Chaos, 1 (1991), pp. 745–72.
 - [30] E. J. DOEDEL, A. R. CHAMPNEYS, T. F. FAIRGRIEVE, Y. A. KUZNETSOV, B. SANDST-EDE, AND X. J. WANG, *AUTO97: Continuation and bifurcation software for ordinary differential equations*, Concordia University, Montreal, 1997.
 - [31] M. H. ERES, L. W. SCHWARTZ, AND R. V. ROY, *Fingering phenomena for driven coating films*, Phys. Fluids, 12 (2000), pp. 1278–1295.
 - [32] M. FERMIGIER, L. LIMAT, J. E. WESFREID, P. BOUDINET, AND C. QUILLIET, *2-dimensional patterns in Rayleigh-Taylor instability of a thin-layer*, J. Fluid Mech., 236 (1992), pp. 349–383.
 - [33] L. S. FISHER AND A. A. GOLOVIN, *Nonlinear stability analysis of a two-layer thin liquid film: Dewetting and autophobic behavior*, J. Colloid Interface Sci., 291 (2005), pp. 515–528.
 - [34] R. A. FRIESNER, L. S. TUCKERMAN, B. C. DORNBLASER, AND T. V. RUSSO, *A method for exponential propagation of large systems of stiff nonlinear differential equations*, J. Sci. Comp., 4 (1989), pp. 327–354.
 - [35] S. GASNER, P. BLOMGREN, AND A. PALACIOS, *Noise-induced intermittency in cellular pattern-forming systems*, Int. J. Bif. Chaos, 17 (2007), pp. 2765–2779.
 - [36] K. B. GLASER AND T. P. WITELSKI, *Coarsening dynamics of dewetting films*, Phys. Rev. E, 67 (2003), p. 016302.
 - [37] A. A. GOLOVIN, A. A. NEPOMNYASHCHY, S. H. DAVIS, AND M. A. ZAKS, *Convective Cahn-Hilliard models: From coarsening to roughening*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 86 (2001), pp. 1550–1553.
 - [38] G. GRÜN, *On the convergence of entropy consistent schemes for lubrication type equations in multiple space dimensions*, Math. Comput., 72 (2003), pp. 1251–79.
 - [39] D. HO, *Chebyshev acceleration technique for large scale nonsymmetric matrices*, Numer. Math., 56 (1990), pp. 721–734.
 - [40] M. HOCHBRUCK AND C. LUBICH, *On krylov subspace approximations to the matrix exponential operator*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 34 (1997), pp. 1552–1574.
 - [41] M. HOCHBRUCK, C. LUBICH, AND H. SELHOFER, *Exponential integrators for large systems of differential equations*, SIAM J. Sci. Comp., 19 (1998), pp. 1552–1574.
 - [42] H. E. HUPPERT, *Flow and instability of a viscous current down a slope*, Nature, 300 (1982), pp. 427–429.
 - [43] J. N. ISRAELACHVILI, *Intermolecular and Surface Forces*, Academic Press, London, 1992.
 - [44] O. E. JENSEN AND J. B. GROTBORG, *Insoluble surfactant spreading on a thin viscous film: Shock evolution and film rupture*, J. Fluid Mech., 240 (1992), pp. 259–288.
 - [45] K. JOHN, M. BÄR, AND U. THIELE, *Self-propelled running droplets on solid substrates driven by chemical reactions*, Eur. Phys. J. E, 18 (2005), pp. 183–199.
 - [46] K. JOHN, P. HÄNGGI, AND U. THIELE, *Ratchet-driven fluid transport in bounded two-layer films of immiscible liquids*, Soft Matter, 4 (2008), pp. 1183–1195.
 - [47] K. JOHN AND U. THIELE, *Liquid transport generated by a flashing field-induced wettability ratchet*, Appl. Phys. Lett., 90 (2007), p. 264102.
 - [48] S. KALLIADASIS, *Nonlinear instability of a contact line driven by gravity*, J. Fluid Mech., 413 (2000), pp. 355–378.
 - [49] S. KALLIADASIS AND U. THIELE, eds., *Thin Films of Soft Matter*, Springer, Wien / New

- York, 2007. CISM 490.
- [50] D. E. KATAOKA AND S. M. TROIAN, *A theoretical study of instabilities at the advancing front of thermally driven coating films*, J. Colloid Interface Sci., 192 (1997), pp. 350–362.
 - [51] I. G. KEVREKIDIS, B. NICOLAENKO, AND J. C. SCOVEL, *Back in the saddle again - a computer-assisted study of the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation*, SIAM J. Appl. Math., 50 (1990), pp. 760–790.
 - [52] R. KHANNA, A. SHARMA, AND G. REITER, *The ABC of pattern evolution in self-destruction of thin polymer films*, EPJdirect, E2 (2000), pp. 1–9.
 - [53] V. LAPUERTA, F. J. MANCEBO, AND J. M. VEGA, *Control of Rayleigh-Taylor instability by vertical vibration in large aspect ratio containers*, Phys. Rev. E, 64 (2001), p. 016318.
 - [54] N. LE GRAND, A. DAERR, AND L. LIMAT, *Shape and motion of drops sliding down an inclined plane*, J. Fluid Mech., 541 (2005), pp. 293–315.
 - [55] J. LIESEN AND P. TICHÝ, *Convergence analysis of Krylov subspace methods*, GAMM Mitt. Ges. Angew. Math. Mech., 27 (2004), pp. 153–173.
 - [56] Z. LIN, T. KERLE, S. M. BAKER, D. A. HOAGLAND, E. SCHÄFFER, U. STEINER, AND T. P. RUSSELL, *Electric field induced instabilities at liquid/liquid interfaces*, J. Chem. Phys., 114 (2001), pp. 2377–2381.
 - [57] T. A. MANTEUFFEL, *The Tchebychev iteration for nonsymmetric linear systems*, Numer. Math., 28 (1977), pp. 307–327.
 - [58] O. K. MATAR AND R. V. CRASTER, *Models for Marangoni drying*, Phys. Fluids, 13 (2001), pp. 1869–1883.
 - [59] K. MEERBERGEN AND D. ROOSE, *Matrix transformations for computing rightmost eigenvalues of large sparse non-symmetric eigenvalue problems*, IMA J. Numer. Anal., 16 (1996), pp. 297–346.
 - [60] D. MERKT, A. POTOTSKY, M. BESTEHORN, AND U. THIELE, *Long-wave theory of bounded two-layer films with a free liquid-liquid interface: Short- and long-time evolution*, Phys. Fluids, 17 (2005), p. 064104.
 - [61] V. S. MITLIN, *Dewetting of solid surface: Analogy with spinodal decomposition*, J. Colloid Interface Sci., 156 (1993), pp. 491–497.
 - [62] A. MÜNCH, *Pinch-off transition in Marangoni-driven thin films*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 91 (2003), p. 016105.
 - [63] ———, *Dewetting rates of thin liquid films*, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter, 17 (2005), pp. S309–S318.
 - [64] A. ORON, *Nonlinear dynamics of three-dimensional long-wave Marangoni instability in thin liquid films*, Phys. Fluids, 12 (2000), pp. 1633–1645.
 - [65] A. ORON, S. H. DAVIS, AND S. G. BANKOFF, *Long-scale evolution of thin liquid films*, Rev. Mod. Phys., 69 (1997), pp. 931–980.
 - [66] A. ORON AND P. ROSENAU, *Formation of patterns induced by thermocapillarity and gravity*, J. Physique II France, 2 (1992), pp. 131–146.
 - [67] ———, *On a nonlinear thermocapillary effect in thin liquid layers*, J. Fluid Mech., 273 (1994), pp. 361–374.
 - [68] A. PEREIRA, P. M. J. TREVELYAN, U. THIELE, AND S. KALLIADASIS, *Dynamics of a horizontal thin liquid film in the presence of reactive surfactants*, Phys. Fluids, 19 (2007), p. 112102.
 - [69] T. PODGORSKI, J.-M. FLESSELLES, AND L. LIMAT, *Corners, cusps, and pearls in running drops*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 87 (2001), p. 036102.
 - [70] A. POTOTSKY, M. BESTEHORN, D. MERKT, AND U. THIELE, *Alternative pathways of dewetting for a thin liquid two-layer film*, Phys. Rev. E, 70 (2004), p. 025201(R).
 - [71] ———, *Morphology changes in the evolution of liquid two-layer films*, J. Chem. Phys., 122 (2005), p. 224711.
 - [72] A. POTOTSKY, M. BESTEHORN, D. MERKT, AND U. THIELE, *3d surface patterns in liquid two-layer films*, Europhys. Lett., 74 (2006), pp. 665–671.
 - [73] G. REITER, *Dewetting of thin polymer films*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 68 (1992), pp. 75–78.
 - [74] G. REITER AND A. SHARMA, *Auto-optimization of dewetting rates by rim instabilities in slipping polymer films*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 87 (2001), p. 166103.
 - [75] E. RUCKENSTEIN AND R. K. JAIN, *Spontaneous rupture of thin liquid films*, J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. II, 70 (1974), pp. 132–147.
 - [76] Y. SAAD, *Variations on Arnoldi's method for computing eigenelements of large asymmetric matrices*, Lin. Alg. Appl., 34 (1980), pp. 269–295.
 - [77] ———, *Analysis of some krylov subspace approximations to the matrix exponential operator*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 29 (1992), pp. 209–228.
 - [78] M. SADKANE, *A block Arnoldi-Chebyshev method for computing the leading eigenpairs of*

- large sparse unsymmetric matrices, Numer. Math., 64 (1993), pp. 181–193.
- [79] E. SCHÄFFER, *Instabilities in Thin Polymer Films: Structure Formation and Pattern Transfer*, PhD thesis, Konstanz, 2001.
 - [80] E. SCHÄFFER AND P. Z. WONG, *Dynamics of contact line pinning in capillary rise and fall*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 80 (1998), pp. 3069–3072.
 - [81] R. SEEMANN, S. HERMINGHAUS, C. NETO, S. SCHLAGOWSKI, D. PODZIMEK, R. KONRAD, H. MANTZ, AND K. JACOBS, *Dynamics and structure formation in thin polymer melt films*, J. Phys.-Condes. Matter, 17 (2005), pp. S267–S290.
 - [82] R. SEYDEL, *Practical Bifurcation and Stability Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994.
 - [83] A. SHARMA, *Relationship of thin film stability and morphology to macroscopic parameters of wetting in the apolar and polar systems*, Langmuir, 9 (1993), pp. 861–869.
 - [84] A. SHARMA AND R. KHANNA, *Pattern formation in unstable thin liquid films*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 81 (1998), pp. 3463–3466.
 - [85] A. SHARMA AND G. REITER, *Instability of thin polymer films on coated substrates: Rupture, dewetting and drop formation*, J. Colloid Interface Sci., 178 (1996), pp. 383–399.
 - [86] J. H. SNOEIJER, E. RIO, N. LE GRAND, AND L. LIMAT, *Self-similar flow and contact line geometry at the rear of cornered drops*, Phys. Fluids, 17 (2005), p. 072101.
 - [87] M. A. SPAID AND G. M. HOMSY, *Stability of Newtonian and viscoelastic dynamic contact lines*, Phys. Fluids, 8 (1996), pp. 460–478.
 - [88] J. SUR, A. L. BERTOZZI, AND R. P. BEHRINGER, *Reverse undercompressive shock structures in driven thin film flow*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 90 (2003), p. 126105.
 - [89] U. THIELE, *Open questions and promising new fields in dewetting*, Eur. Phys. J. E, 12 (2003), pp. 409–416.
 - [90] ———, *Structure formation in thin liquid films*, in Thin films of Soft Matter, S. Kalliadasis and U. Thiele, eds., Wien, 2007, Springer, pp. 25–93.
 - [91] U. THIELE, L. BRUSCH, M. BESTEHORN, AND M. BÄR, *Modelling thin-film dewetting on structured substrates and templates: Bifurcation analysis and numerical simulations*, Eur. Phys. J. E, 11 (2003), pp. 255–271.
 - [92] U. THIELE, B. GOYEAU, AND M. G. VELARDE, *Film flow on a porous substrate*, Phys. Fluids, 21 (2009), p. 014103.
 - [93] U. THIELE, K. JOHN, AND M. BÄR, *Dynamical model for chemically driven running droplets*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 93 (2004), p. 027802.
 - [94] U. THIELE AND E. KNOBLOCH, *Front and back instability of a liquid film on a slightly inclined plate*, Phys. Fluids, 15 (2003), pp. 892–907.
 - [95] U. THIELE AND E. KNOBLOCH, *Thin liquid films on a slightly inclined heated plate*, Physica D, 190 (2004), pp. 213–248.
 - [96] ———, *Driven drops on heterogeneous substrates: Onset of sliding motion*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 97 (2006), p. 204501.
 - [97] ———, *On the depinning of a driven drop on a heterogeneous substrate*, New J. Phys., 8 (2006), pp. 313, 1–37.
 - [98] U. THIELE, K. NEUFFER, M. BESTEHORN, Y. POMEAU, AND M. G. VELARDE, *Sliding drops on an inclined plane*, Colloid Surf. A, 206 (2002), pp. 87–104.
 - [99] U. THIELE, I. VANCEA, A. J. ARCHER, M. J. ROBBINS, L. FRASTIA, A. STANNARD, E. PAULIAC-VAUJOUR, C. P. MARTIN, M. O. BLUNT, AND P. J. MORIARTY, *Approaches to dewetting evaporating thin films of nanoparticle suspensions*, J. Phys.-Cond. Mat., (2009). (at press).
 - [100] U. THIELE, J. M. VEGA, AND E. KNOBLOCH, *Long-wave Marangoni instability with vibration*, J. Fluid Mech., 546 (2006), pp. 61–87.
 - [101] U. THIELE, M. G. VELARDE, AND K. NEUFFER, *Dewetting: Film rupture by nucleation in the spinodal regime*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 87 (2001), p. 016104.
 - [102] U. THIELE, M. G. VELARDE, K. NEUFFER, M. BESTEHORN, AND Y. POMEAU, *Sliding drops in the diffuse interface model coupled to hydrodynamics*, Phys. Rev. E, 64 (2001), p. 061601.
 - [103] U. THIELE, M. G. VELARDE, K. NEUFFER, AND Y. POMEAU, *Film rupture in the diffuse interface model coupled to hydrodynamics*, Phys. Rev. E, 64 (2001), p. 031602.
 - [104] M. TOKMAN, *Efficient integration of large stiff systems of odes with exponential propagation (epi) methods*, J. Comput. Phys., 213 (2006), pp. 748–776.
 - [105] L. TUCKERMAN AND D. BARKLEY, *Bifurcation analysis for timesteppers*, in Numerical Methods for Bifurcation Problems and Large-Scale Dynamical, L. Doedel, E. and Tuckerman, ed., vol. 119 of IMA Volumes in Mathematics and its Applications, New-York, 2000, Springer, pp. 466–543.
 - [106] S. J. VANHOOK, M. F. SCHATZ, J. B. SWIFT, W. D. MCCORMICK, AND H. L. SWINNEY,

- Long-wavelength surface-tension-driven Bénard convection: Experiment and theory*, J. Fluid Mech., 345 (1997), pp. 45–78.
- [107] I. VERETENNIKOV, A. INDEIKINA, AND H.-C. CHANG, *Front dynamics and fingering of a driven contact line*, J. Fluid Mech., 373 (1998), pp. 81–110.
 - [108] R. VERMA, A. SHARMA, K. KARGUPTA, AND J. BHAUMIK, *Electric field induced instability and pattern formation in thin liquid films*, Langmuir, 21 (2005), pp. 3710–3721.
 - [109] R. VICHNEVETSKY AND R. S. STEPLEMAN, eds., *Advances in Computer Methods for Partial Differential Equations–VI*, IMACS, New Brunswick, 1987.
 - [110] P. WHEELER AND D. BARKLEY, *Computation of spiral spectra*, SIAM J. Applied Dynamical Systems, 5 (2006), pp. 157–177.
 - [111] L. ZHORNITSKAYA AND A. L. BERTOZZI, *Positivity-preserving numerical schemes for lubrication-type equations*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 37 (2000), pp. 523–555.